North Dakota Department of Public Instruction

Kirsten Baesler, State Superintendent 600 E. Boulevard Avenue, Dept. 201 Bismarck, ND 58505-0440

Speech-Language Pathology Public School Guidelines:

Section II: Eligibility Criteria for Speech-Language Impairment



March 2010

NOTICE OF NON-DISCRIMINATION NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

The Department of Public Instruction does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability, or age in its programs and activities. For inquires regarding nondiscrimination policies, please contact: Robert Marthaller, Director of School District Support Services, Department of Public Instruction, 600 E Boulevard Avenue, Dept 201, Bismarck, ND 58505-0440, 701-328-2267.

CIVIL RIGHTS STATEMENT: In accordance with all regulations, guidelines and standards adopted by the US Department of Education, the US Department of Agriculture and the ND Human Rights Act, the ND Department of Public Instruction prohibits discrimination on the basis of age, gender, race, color, religion, national origin, status with regard to marriage or public assistance, disability or political beliefs. All divisions of the Department of Public Instruction will insure that no one is denied participation in, or denied the benefits of, or subjected to discrimination under any department program or activity.

Equal education opportunity is a priority of the ND Department of Public Instruction.

Acknowledgements

The North Dakota Department of Public Instruction would like to express its sincere appreciation to the following persons for their work on these guidelines:

Barb Swegarden, Coordinator Fargo Public Schools Special Education Unit

Diane Dyk, Team Leader Bismarck Public Schools Special Education Unit

Leisa Harmon, Clinic Coordinator Minot State University

Mary Jo Schill, Director of Clinical Education University of North Dakota

Darlene Nething, Coordinator James River Special Education Cooperative

Claudia Thompson, Coordinator Upper Valley Special Education Unit

Teresa Kyllo, Coordinator Wilmac Special Education Unit

Deborah Gietzen, Coordinator Souris Valley Special Education Unit

Dora Elbert, Coordinator Grand Forks Public Schools Special Education Unit

Melissa Deckert, Director Peace Garden Special Education Consortium

Teresa Monicken, SLP Guidelines Coordinator ND Dept. of Public Instruction, Special Education

Mary McCarvel-O'Connor, Regional Coordinator, ND Dept. of Public Instruction, Special Education

Michelle Souther, Administrative Assistant ND Dept. of Public Instruction, Special Education

Table of Contents

1.	Introd	uction
2.	Langu	age
	a.	Language Criteria
	b.	Language Severity Rating Scale
	c.	Overall Functional Level
	d.	Preschool Language Criteria.
	e.	Preschool Language Severity Rating Scale
	f.	Preschool Overall Functional Level
	g.	Language Appendices
3.	Speecl	1
	a.	Considerations.
	b.	Speech Criteria
	c.	Speech Severity Rating Scale
	d.	Speech Overall Functional Level.
	e.	Preschool Speech Criteria.
	f.	Preschool Speech Severity Rating Scale
	g.	Preschool Speech Overall Functional Level.
	h.	Speech Appendices.
4.	Voice	
	a.	Voice Criteria.
	b.	Voice Severity Rating Scale.
	c.	Voice Overall Functional Level.
	d.	Voice Appendices.
5.	Fluenc	y
	a.	Fluency Criteria.
	b.	Fluency Severity Rating Scale
	c.	Fluency Overall Functional Level.
	d.	Preschool Fluency Criteria
	e.	Preschool Fluency Severity Rating Scale
	f.	Preschool Fluency Overall Functional Level
	g.	Fluency Appendices
6.	Contin	nued Eligibility
	a.	Continued Eligibility
	b.	Exit Considerations.
	c.	Continued Eligibility Appendices.
7.	Refere	nces

Eligibility Criteria For Speech or Language Impairment

Introduction

The following sections will describe each of the sub-categories of speech-language impairment and provide eligibility criteria therein. Appendices will include information for the SLP to use when making eligibility decisions.

In each sub-category, there is a rating scale which allows the SLP to assign points. These points are added to provide a total score to assist in the determination of a disability.

Since most SLPs' services are funded with special education funds, it is important to ensure the special education requirements for eligibility of services are followed. There may be times parents, doctors, or dentists believe a child needs speech therapy services, but the child is not eligible for special education speech-language services. The school is obligated to consider all outside evaluations and reports but is not obligated to provide or pay for services other than for those services that meet the eligibility requirement of special education.

Pre-referral

Prior to consideration for eligibility, building level teams should review all available data related to the student performance and abilities. This could be through the Response to Intervention process (RTI) or a building level team process such as the Building Level Support Team (BLST).

Eligibility

A *child with a speech or language impairment* has been evaluated in accordance with the Department's policies and procedures, determined to have a speech-language impairment, and as a result of this speech-language impairment, needs special education or related services. Children who have a speech or language impairment and no other disability may receive special education (specially designed instruction). "Specially designed instruction" means adapting, as appropriate, the content, methodology, or delivery of instruction to address the unique needs of the child that result from the disability, and ensure access of the child to the general curriculum so that the child can meet the educational standards within the jurisdiction of the district that apply to all children. [Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act 2004, CFR 34 §300.39(b)(3)] Children who have a speech-language impairment that is secondary to another disability may receive related services (services to benefit from special education).

Federal Definition of Speech or Language Impairment

A speech or language impairment is a communication disorder, such as stuttering, impaired articulation, a language impairment, or voice impairment that adversely impacts educational performance.

LANGUAGE

Language Criteria

A *Language Disorder* is defined as a breakdown in communication that is characterized by difficulties in expressing needs, ideas, or information that may be accompanied by problems in understanding. Language patterns attributed to dialectical, cultural or ethnic differences or the influence of a foreign language must not be identified as a disorder.

How to complete the Language Rating Scale: Circle the appropriate scores for each of the three categories:

Rows A and B (Formal/standardized assessment)

- Determination of the rating for formal assessment should be based on derived scores of relative standing, such as standard scores or percentiles.
- Total test score or composite scores must be used—not individual subtests

Row C (Informal/non-standardized assessment)

• Determination of the rating for informal assessment requires professional judgment and reference to normative data. Consider the results of criterion referenced tools, language samples, teacher-made tests, observation, etc.

Row D (Adverse affect on educational performance)

- Use the Teacher Input Form regarding language to assess the adverse affect on educational performance.
- 1. Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0-8 No Language Impairment (ineligible)

Total Score: 9-12 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 13-16 Moderate Total Score: 17-20 Severe

2. The comment section may include statements regarding discrepancies among individual tests, subtests, classroom performance and other factors that are relevant to the determination of severity.

Considerations

- Given current medical, neurological, physical, emotional, and/or developmental factors, if the student's speech-language performance is within his/her expected performance range and compensatory skills have been achieved; then the student may not be found eligible.
 - The purpose of assessment is for determining eligibility and/or programming needs. Certain assessment tools are more appropriate for programming than for eligibility determination (ex., Single words vocabulary tests).
 - Students with Auditory Processing Disorders who are not eligible as language impaired, may be considered for a 504 plan.
- For written language: Document assessment under the "Informal Assessment" block:
 - o Classroom writing samples should be included (ex., 6 Traits rubrics)
- Curriculum-based assessment

Additional Considerations

• Individuals with Autism may be eligible for speech-language services due to the pervasive nature of the social communication impairment. Formal assessment tools may not accurately detect problems in the social use of language and communication, so eligibility may need to be based on clinical judgment and more informal, observational measures.

Features to consider

- 1. Has limited joint attention and limited use of facial expressions directed toward others.
- 2. Does not show or bring things to others to indicate an interest in the activity.
- 3. Demonstrates difficulties in relating to people, objects, and events.
- 4. Has a gross impairment in ability to make and keep friends.
- 5. Shows significant vulnerability and safety issues due to social naiveté.
- 6. Prefers isolated or solitary activities.
- 7. Misinterprets others' behaviors and social cues.
- 8. Demonstrates gross impairments of solitary, imaginative, cooperative, and reciprocal play.
- 9. Demonstrates overreaction or under reaction to sensory stimuli resulting in communication breakdowns (which may include sight, smell, hearing, taste, touch, balance, body awareness, and pain).
- 10. Uses rigid or rule-bound thinking such as an intense, focused preoccupation with a limited range of play, interests, or conversation topics.
- For students referred for social-communication concerns that significantly impact their functional interactions with peers and adults, ADD the following points to the total rubric score:

2 features: 1 point3 features: 2 points4 features: 3 points

• 5 or more features: 4 points

Language Severity Rating Scale

		Mild	Moderate	Severe	Points
Formal Comprehensive Language Assessment in Oral &/or Written Language (Lowest Composite score may be used) (e.g.,TOLD, CASL, CELF, OWLS)	Score = 0 SS 86-100 50% ile SD 099 below the mean	Score = 2 SS 78-85 16% ile SD 1.0 – 1.49 below the mean	Score = 3 SS 70-77 7% ile SD 1.5 – 1.99 below the mean	Score = 4 SS < 70 2% ile SD 2.0 > below the mean	Assigned
Second Formal Measure (e.g.LPT, WORD, Bracken, ELT, TLC-E)	Score = 0 SS 86-100 50% ile SD 099 below the mean	Score = 2 SS 78-85 16% ile SD 1.0 – 1.49 below the mean	Score = 3 SS 70-77 7% ile SD 1.5 – 1.99 below the mean	Score = 4 SS < 70 2% ile SD 2.0 > below the mean	
Informal /Non- Standardized Assessment (e.g., language sample, classroom work samples, classroom observation checklist)	Score = 0 Language skills are developmentally appropriate and do not interfere with communication	Score = 2 Language skills consist of some errors, and do not interfere with communication	Score = 3 Language skills are below the average range; errors are noticeable and interfere with communication	Score = 4 Language skills are significantly below average; errors are prevalent and greatly interfere with communication	
Educational Impact (social/emotional) (e.g., Teacher checklist, file review, state and district assessments, curriculum-based assessments)	Score = 0 Language skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational settings	Score = 4 Language skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational setting	Score = 6 Language skills have an effect on the student's ability to participate in educational settings	Score = 8 Language skills have a significant impact on the student's ability to participate in educational settings	
	Comprehensive Language Assessment in Oral &/or Written Language (Lowest Composite score may be used) (e.g.,TOLD, CASL, CELF, OWLS) Second Formal Measure (e.g.LPT, WORD, Bracken, ELT, TLC-E) Informal /Non- Standardized Assessment (e.g., language sample, classroom work samples, classroom observation checklist) Educational Impact (social/emotional) (e.g., Teacher checklist, file review, state and district assessments, curriculum-based	Comprehensive Language Assessment in Oral &/or Written Language (Lowest Composite score may be used) (e.g.,TOLD, CASL, CELF, OWLS) Second Formal Measure (e.g.LPT, WORD, Bracken, ELT, TLC-E) Informal /Non- Standardized Assessment (e.g., language sample, classroom work samples, classroom observation checklist) Educational Impact (social/emotional) (e.g., Teacher checklist, file review, state and district assessments, curriculum-based SS 86-100 Score = 0 SS 86-100 SS 86-100 SO% ile SD 099 below the mean Score = 0 Language skills are developmentally appropriate and do not interfere with communication	Comprehensive Language Assessment in Oral &/or Written Language (Lowest Composite score may be used) (e.g.,TOLD, CASL, CELF, OWLS) Second Formal Measure (e.g.LPT, WORD, Bracken, ELT, TLC-E) Informal /Non- Standardized Assessment (e.g., language sample, classroom work samples, classroom observation checklist) Educational Impact (social/emotional) (e.g., Teacher checklist, file review, state and district assessments, curriculum-based SS 86-100 Score = 0 Score = 2 Score = 2 Score = 2 Language skills are developmentally appropriate and do not interfere with communication Score = 0 Language skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational settings SS 78-85 16% ile SD 1.0 – 1.49 below the mean Score = 2 Language skills consist of some errors, and do not interfere with communication Score = 4 Language skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational settings	Comprehensive Language Assessment in Oral &/or Written Language (Lowest Composite score may be used) (e.g.,TOLD, CASL, CELF, OWLS) Second Formal Measure (e.g.LPT, WORD, Bracken, ELT, TLC-E) Standardized Assessment (e.g., Inguage sample, classroom work samples, classroom observation checklist) Educational Impact (social/emotional) (e.g., Teacher checklist, file review, state and district assessments, curriculum-based assessments) SS 86-100 Score = 0 Score = 2 Score = 3 Language skills consist of some errors, and do not interfere with communication Score = 0 Language skills are developmentally appropriate and do not interfere with communication Score = 4 Language skills are developing and can be addressed in the general settings SS 78-85 SS 70-77 7% ile SD 1.5 – 1.99 below the mean Score = 3 Score = 2 Score = 3 Language skills consist of some errors, and do not interfere with communication Score = 4 Language skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational settings	Comprehensive Language

1. Circle the score for each row and add to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0-8 No Language Disability (ineligible)

Total Score: 9-12 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 13-16 Moderate Total Score: 17-20 Severe

2. For students referred for social-communication concerns that significantly impact their functional interactions with peers and adults, ADD the following additional points to the total points, then re-total. (See features listed on page 8):

2 features: 1 point3 features: 2 points4 features: 3 points

• 5 or more features: 4 points

If a student is unable to complete standardized assessment due to NON-COMPLIANCE or ABILITY, the assessment team will need to document an alternate means of determining eligibility. Comments:

Language Severity Rating Scale Overall Functional Level

Level	Condition	Educational Impact
Level 0 (0 – 8 points)	The student's independent	Language skills are adequate for
Ineligible	language skills are consistently	the student's participation in
	age-appropriate. The student is	educational/developmentally
	able to use compensatory	appropriate settings.
	strategies when needed.	
Level 1 (9 – 12 points)	The student's independent	Language skills are developing
Mild (ineligible)	language skills are age	and can be addressed in the
	appropriate. He/she is successful	general
	in participating in most low	educational/developmentally
	comprehension and low verbal	appropriate setting.
	demand educational activities	
	with minimum support.	
	However, the student's	
	participation in high comprehension and high verbal	
	demand situations may	
	occasionally be limited.	
Level 2 (13 – 16 points)	The student's independent	Language skills have an effect on
Moderate	language skills are often age	the student's ability to participate
1125 452 452	appropriate in low	in educational/developmentally
	comprehension and low verbal	appropriate settings.
	demand educational activities.	THE TENTE OF THE SECOND
	The student's successful	
	participation is frequently limited	
	in high demand activities unless	
	maximum support is provided to	
	reduce the comprehension and	
	verbal demands.	
Level 3 (17 – 20 points)	The student's independent	Language skills have a
Severe	language comprehension and	significant impact on the
	verbal messages are rarely age-	student's ability to participate in
	appropriate even in low	educational/developmentally
	comprehension and low verbal	appropriate settings.
	demand educational activities.	
	His/her participation in high	
	comprehension and high demand	
	educational activities is not age	
	appropriate and tends to be extremely limited even with	
	l	
	supports.	

Preschool Language Criteria

A *Language Disorder* is defined as a breakdown in communication that is characterized by difficulties in expressing needs, ideas, or information that may be accompanied by problems in understanding. Language patterns attributed to dialectical, cultural or ethnic differences or the influence of a foreign language must not be identified as a disorder.

How to complete the Language Rating Scale (Circle the appropriate scores for each of the three categories)

Rows A and B (Formal/standardized assessment)

- Determination of the rating for formal assessment should be based on derived scores of relative standing, such as standard scores or percentiles.
- Total test score or composite scores must be used—not individual subtests
 -For children transitioning from Infant Development Part C to Part B (2.7 to 2.9 years of age), all information available should be considered for eligibility. When data presented indicate that skills are below age level using age ranges or percent of delay, this may be considered sufficient data to support the eligibility for speech/language services without further assessment. The existing data can be used to support eligibility without plotting scores on the rubric. This could include assessment data from private practice SLPs, medical centers, or university clinics. If the team determines insufficient data is available to make the determination of eligibility, additional assessment should be completed.

Row C (Informal/non-standardized assessment)

 Determination of the rating for informal assessment requires professional judgment and reference to normative data. Consider the results of criterion referenced tools, language samples, teacher-made tests, observation, etc.

Row D (Adverse affect on educational performance)

• Use the Teacher Input Form regarding language to assess the adverse affect on educational performance.

Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0-8 No Language Impairment (ineligible)
Total Score: 9-12 Mild (ineligible)
Total Score: 13-16 Moderate
Total Score: 17-20 Severe

The comment section on the criteria form may include statements regarding discrepancies among individual tests, subtests and other factors that are relevant to the determination of severity.

Considerations

• The purpose of assessment is for determining eligibility and/or programming needs. Certain assessment tools are more appropriate for programming than for eligibility determination.

Preschool Language Severity Rating Scale

		No Disability	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Points	
	D 1/0. 1 1: 1	G 0	2 2	2	G 4	Assigned	
A	Formal/Standardized	Score = 0	Score = 2	Score = 3	Score = 4		
	Comprehensive	SS 86-100	SS 78-85	SS 70-77	SS < 70		
	Language Assessment	50% ile	16% ile	7% ile	2% ile		
	(Lowest <u>Composite</u>	SD 099 below	SD 1.0 -1.49	SD 1.5 – 1.99	SD 2.0 > below		
	score may be used)	the mean	below the mean	below the mean	the mean		
	[e.g.,CELF-P, PLS,						
	TELD, TOLD, OWLS]						
В	Second Formal Measure	Score = 0	Score = 2	Score = 3	Score = 4		
	[e.g., vocabulary, basic	SS 86-100	SS 78-85	SS 70-77	SS < 70		
	concepts; etc.]	50% ile	16% ile	7% ile	2% ile		
		SD 099 below	SD 1.0 -1.49	SD 1.5 – 1.99	SD 2.0 > below		
		the mean	below the mean	below the mean	the mean		
C	Informal / Non-	Score = 0	Score = 2	Score = 3	Score = 4		
	Standardized	Language skills are	Language skills	Language skills	Language skills		
	Assessment (e.g.,	developmentally	consist of some	are below the	are significantly		
	language sample,	appropriate and do	errors, and do not	average range;	below average;		
	observation)	not interfere with	interfere with	errors are	errors are		
	,	communication	communication	noticeable and	prevalent and		
				interfere with	greatly interfere		
				communication	with		
					communication		
D	Educational Impact	Score = 0	Score = 4	Score = 6	Score = 8		
	(social/emotional)	Language skills are	Language skills	Language skills	Language skills		
	(Parent Questionnaire,	adequate for the	are developing	have an effect on	have a significant		
	Teacher Checklist)	student's	and can be	the student's	impact on the		
	,	participation in	addressed in	ability to	student's ability		
		varied	varied	participate in	to participate in		
		environments i.e.	environment i.e.	varied	varied		
		Preschool	Preschool	environments i.e.	environments. i.e.		
		Daycare	Daycare	Preschool	Preschool		
		- Home	- Home	Daycare	Daycare		
				- Home	- Home		
TOTAL POINTS							

1. Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0-8 No Language Disability (ineligible)

Total Score: 9-12 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 13-16 Moderate
Total Score: 17-20 Severe

2. For students referred for social-communication concerns that significantly impact their functional interactions with peers and adults, ADD the following additional points to the total points, then retotal. (See features listed on page 8):

2 features: 1 point3 features: 2 points4 features: 3 points

• 5 or more features: 4 points

If a student is unable to complete standardized assessment due to NON-COMPLIANCE or ABILITY, the assessment team will need to document an alternate means of determining eligibility. Comments:

Preschool Language Severity Rating Scale Overall Functional Level

Level	Condition	Educational Impact
Level $0 (0 - 8 \text{ points})$	The child's independent language	Language skills are adequate for
Ineligible	skills are consistently age-	the child's participation in
	appropriate. The child is able to	educationally/developmentally
	use compensatory strategies	appropriate settings.
T 11(0 12 : 4)	when needed.	T 1'11 1 1 '
Level 1 (9 – 12 points) Mild (ineligible)	The child's independent language skills are age appropriate. He/she	Language skills are developing and can be addressed in their
Wild (mengible)	is successful in participating in	regular educationally/
	most low comprehension and low	developmentally appropriate
	verbal demand	setting.
	educational/developmental	setting.
	activities with minimum support.	
	However, the child's	
	participation in high	
	comprehension and high verbal	
	demand situations may	
	occasionally be limited.	
Level 2 (13 – 16 points)	The child's independent language	Language skills have an effect on
Moderate	skills are often age appropriate in	the child's ability to participate in
	low comprehension and low verbal demand	educationally/developmentally
	educational/developmental	appropriate settings.
	activities. The child's successful	
	participation is frequently limited	
	in high demand activities unless	
	maximum support is provided to	
	reduce the comprehension and	
	verbal demands.	
Level 3 (17 – 20 points)	The child's independent language	Language skills have a
Severe	comprehension and verbal	significant impact on the child's
	messages are rarely age-	ability to participate in
	appropriate even in low	educationally/developmentally
	comprehension and low verbal	appropriate settings.
	demand educational activities. His/her participation in high	
	comprehension and high demand	
	educational/developmental	
	activities is not age appropriate	
	and tends to be extremely limited	
	even with supports.	

Language Appendices

(Attached are resources that MAY be used in the assessment process to help the SLP determine eligibility)

- 1. Classroom Observational Checklist
- 2. Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for Kindergarten
- 3. Basic Concept Chart
- 4. Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for First Grade
- 5. Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for Second Third Grade
- 6. Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for Fourth Fifth Grade
- 7. Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for Middle and High School
- 8. Informal Measure of Phonemic Awareness
- 9. Preschool Language Skills Checklist (Birth Age 6)
- 10.Predicted MLU Ranges
- 11. Observation for Preschool Speech/Language
- 12. Parent Questionnaire

Classroom Observational Checklist

Observer:Date Directions: Check areas of concern. LISTENING The student has difficulty:1. paying attention1. sounding or reading or r	ling what was read what was read g the main idea ing details
LISTENING The student has difficulty: 1. paying attention2. following spoken directions READING The student has difficulty:1. sounding or reading	ling what was read what was read g the main idea ing details
The student has difficulty: The student has difficulty: The student has difficulty: 1. paying attention 2. following spoken directions The student has difficulty: 1. sounding or reading	ling what was read what was read g the main idea ing details
The student has difficulty: 1. paying attention 2. following spoken directions The student has difficulty: 1. sounding or reading	ling what was read what was read g the main idea ing details
1. paying attention 1. sounding of 2. following spoken directions 1. reading	ling what was read what was read g the main idea ing details
2. following spoken directions reading	ling what was read what was read g the main idea ing details
	what was read the main idea ing details
3. remembering things people say 2. understand	the main idea ing details
4 understanding what people are saving 3 explaining	ing details
5. understanding the meaning of words 4. identifying	ing details
6. understanding new ideas 5. rememberi	
7. looking at people when talking or listening 6. following	written directions
8. understanding facial expressions, WRITING	
gestures, or body language The student has difficulty:	
1. writing do	wn thoughts
SPEAKING 2. using corre	ect grammar
The student has difficulty: 3. writing con	mplete sentences
1. answering questions people ask 4. expanding	an answer or
2. answering questions as quickly as other providing do	etails when writing
	ords in the right orde
3. asking for help when needed when writin	g sentences
4. asking questions	
5. using a variety of vocabulary words	
when talking	
6. thinking of (finding) the right word to	
say	
7. expressing thoughts	
8. describing things to people	
9. staying on the subject when talking 10. getting to the point when talking	
11. putting events in the right order when	
telling stories about things that	
happened	
12. using correct grammar when talking	
13. using complete sentences when	
talking	
14. expanding an answer or providing	
details when talking	
15. talking with a group of people	
16. saying something another way when	
someone doesn't understand	

Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for Kindergarten

Student's Name _	l eacher Date
	by
	UNDERSTANDING
The student does	NOT:
1.	hear and identify familiar sounds
	hear and identify rhythmic patterns
3.	hear and identify rhyming words
4.	identify initial consonants
	listen and appropriately respond to nursery rhymes, fairy tales, poetry
6.	discriminate between sounds effectively
	recall what is heard
8.	follow oral instructions
	a. in individual direction b. in group direction
0	liston for specific purposes
9.	listen for specific purposes
10). listen during group discussions . retain information heard
11	e. ignore auditory distractions
12	. Ignore auditory distractions
II. SPEAKING	
	pes NOT demonstrate appropriate grammar using:
1.	
	a. singular
	b. plural
2.	verb tenses, except irregular past
3.	helping/linking verbs (e.g. "is, are")
	pronouns
B. The student d	
1.	express ideas clearly
2.	retell directions, events and pictured sequences of four
3.	give oral presentations (i.e., "show and tell")
4.	recite from memory
5.	recite from memory respond to questions and discussions
6.	speak clearly and audibly
7.	speak in complete sentences
8.	produce all sounds appropriately
W WOOLDIN	ADVICONCEDES
	ARY/CONCEPTS
A. The student do	
1.	understand and use age appropriate basic concepts (see attached chart)
B. The student do	oes NOT:
1.	sequence left to right
	recognize and name colors
	recognize and name colors recognize and name shapes
4.	recognize and name numbers 0-20
5	use age appropriate vocabulary
	group vocabulary by common categories
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Classroom Based Communication Skills (Continued) Checklist for Kindergarten

Page Two

7	7. associate words with objects and activities
8	8 identify simple cause and effect relationships
9	distinguish between: "pretend" and "real"
1	0. identify basic emotions (e.g. happy, sad, angry)
1	1. predict outcomes
1	2. draw inferences/solve simple riddles
IV. SOCIAL L	ANGUAGE
The student doe	es NOT·
1	1. stay on topic giving relevant information
	2. take conversational turns
3	3. begin and terminate conversations appropriately
	4. demonstrate the ability to make choices
	5. accept decisions made by others and themselves
	6. complete tasks independently or in groups
V EADIVII	TERACY SKILLS
The student doe	
1110 Stadent doe	recognize capital and lower case manuscript letters
	2 match capital and lower case manuscript letters
	2. match capital and lower case manuscript letters 3. recognize his own name
	4. participate in language experience stories
	5. use correct paper, pencil and proper body positions
/	Consumer of fallows lines, showed stores makes
	7. copy and follow lines, snapes, etc. on paper 7. copy numerals, capitals, and lower case letters in manuscript 8. write numerals, capitals, and lower case letters in manuscript 9. segment sentences to show number of words (clapping) 10. tell sounds associated with letters 11. blend sounds in CVC words when segmented sounds are said to him
8	8. write numerals, capitals, and lower case letters in manuscript
	9. segment sentences to show number of words (clapping)
1	10 tell sounds associated with letters
1	11 blend sounds in CVC words when segmented sounds are said to him
1	12. read sight words
1	13. accurately track enlarged print (big books, pocket charts)
Check the item	that best summarizes your impression of this child's language:
	Language skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational setting
	Language skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational setting
	Language skills have an effect on the student's ability to participate in educational
	settings
	Language skills have a significant impact on the student's ability to participate in
	educational settings.
Comments:	

BASIC CONCEPT CHART

Student Name	Date	_Teacher
--------------	------	----------

Circle the concepts the child has not yet mastered

	2-3 years	3-4 years	4-5 years	High-Utility Concepts			
					5 years and up		
SPATIAL	together behind away from	top apart around high in front of toward	bottom low next to beside forward in back of	right left near far back front	side second third ahead center corner	edge upper right lower right upper left lower left across from	through right half left half to the right of to the left of separated
TEMPORAL		toward	III odek of	first last next beginning end	starting morning evening	afternoon yesterday tomorrow after before	second third gradual sudden early
QUANTITY/ QUALITY	some all small large	empty full same less than	short long thin each different	a little a lot thick many few none	enough both medium sized half whole another	pair wide narrow shallow deep every	equal the most the least diagonal horizontal vertical
SOCIAL - EMOTIONAL				tired surprised	scared angry	afraid excited	bored worried

Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for First Grade

Student's Name		Teacher	Date
Please return to		by	
I I IOTENINO	INDEDCE AND INC		
The student does	UNDERSTANDING		
	follow oral directions		
¹	a. in individual direction		
	b. in group direction		
2	follow written directions		
2	identify main ideas, details and shares	stars of a stary	
3	identify main ideas, details and characteristic draw conclusions from facts given in	nets of a story	
	identify character's motives and feeling	a SiOi y	
	sequence four events	185	
0	ignore auditory distractions		
	identify initial/final consonants		
	identify your lands		
9	identify vowel sounds discriminate between sounds effective	al _x ,	
1	J. discriminate between sounds effective	ery	
II. SPEAKING			
The student does	NOT:		
1	identify groups of words as sentences		
2	identify asking vs. telling sentences		
3	identify asking vs. telling sentences identify nouns		
4	identify verbs and action words		
5	identify adjectives		
6	produce complete sentences		
7	produce appropriate grammar		
8	participate in group discussions		
9	comprehend and respond to wh questi	ons	
	0. produce all sounds appropriately		
III VOCADIII	ADVICONCEDES		
	ARY/CONCEPTS		
The student does			
1	associate text with pictures		
2	recognize emotion in stories		
3	differentiate true and false statements		
4	predict outcomes		
3	make inferences/solve simple riddles		
6	use context clues recognize antonyms use age appropriate vocabulary		
/	recognize antonyms		
8	use age appropriate vocabulary		
9	understand and use basic concepts		
IV. SOCIAL LA	ANGUAGE		
The student does			
	stay on topic giving relevant informat	ion	
2	take conversational turns		
3	take conversational turns begin and terminate conversations app	propriately	

Classroom Based Communication Skills (Continued) Checklist for First Grade

Page Two

V. LITERACY SKILLS	
The student does NOT :	
1. capitalize appropriately	
2. punctuate appropriately 3. compose one sentence 4. compose multiple related sentences 5. demonstrate sound, letter, and letter pattern recognition and manipulation by	
5. compose one sentence	
5 demonstrate sound letter and letter nattern recognition and manipulation by	
substituting and deleting sounds	
6. segment words into sounds	
7 blend sounds into words	
8. recognize, read, and write sight words	
9. read with fluency	
10. retell a story in sequence	
8. recognize, read, and write sight words 9. read with fluency 10. retell a story in sequence 11. identify story elements	
Check the item that best summarizes your impression of this child's language:	
Language skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational settings Language skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational settin Language skills have an effect on the student's ability to participate in educational set Language skills have a significant impact on the student's ability to participate in educational settings.	g ttings
Comments:	
Deturn this form to:	

Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for Second/Third Grade

Student's Name	leacher Date
Please return to _	by
I. LISTENING/	UNDERSTANDING
A. The student d	nes NOT·
	follow directions
1.	a. in individual direction
	b. in group direction
2.	comprehend and answer "wh" questions
	oes NOT identify key elements of who, what, when and where
	from orally presented materials
	from written material
C. The student d	
1	identify the main idea
2.	differentiate between relevant and irrelevant information
3.	discriminate likeness and differences of sounds in words
	ignore auditory distractions
II. SPEAKING	
The student does	NOT:
1.	use grammatically correct sentences
2.	participate in group discussions
.5.	give description with appropriate detail
4.	compare and contrast
5.	recall and discuss personal experiences
6.	convey information in an organized manner
7.	use specific vocabulary rather than general words (thing, stuff)
8.	ask/answer questions appropriately
9.	use appropriate articulation
III. VOCABULA	ARY/CONCEPTS
The student does	
1.	relate to cause and effect
2.	recognize synonyms, antonyms, homonyms, and multiple meaning words
3.	use appropriate vocabulary
4.	understand idioms and non-literal forms
	understand and give descriptions with appropriate detail
6.	appropriately compare and contrast
IV. SOCIAL LA	
The student does	
1.	stay on topic giving relevant information
2.	take conversational turns
3.	begin and terminate conversations appropriately

Classroom Based Communication Skills (Continued) Checklist for Second/Third Grade

Page Two

V. LITERACY SKILLS		
The student does NOT :		
1. identify groups of words as sentence	S	
2. differentiate between sentences and	questions	
3. use correct capitalization and punctu	ation	
4. write 2 to 4 complete sentences about	it a topic	
5. write a sentence with descriptive wo	rds	
6. write time ordered story using first, i	next and last	
7. proof and edit his work		
8. recognize, read and write sight word	S	
9. retell a story in sequence		
10. retell a story in sequence		
11. identify story elements		
Check the item that best summarizes your impression of	f this child's language:	
Language skills are adequate for the student Language skills are developing and can be Language skills have an effect on the student Language skills have a significant impact settings.	be addressed in the general ed dent's ability to participate in	lucational setting educational settings
Comments:		
Return this form to:	at	hv

Classroom Based Communication Skills Checklist for Fourth/Fifth Grade

Student's Name			
Please return to	by		
I. LISTENING/UNDERSTANDING			
A. The student does NOT :			
1. understand directions	and assignments		
2. takes notes and ask q	luestions		
3. answer specific litera	al questions		
4. answer specific infer	ential questions		
5. recall main ideas and	supporting details		
6. interpret and evaluate	e information		
7. distinguish between	fact and opinion in an	oral message	
8. relate cause and effect	et		
9. use strategies to enha	ince memory		
II. SPEAKING			
The student does NOT :			
1. use grammatically co	orrect sentences		
2. give concise and according	urate directions or info	ormation	
3. respond to questions	appropriately		
4. ask questions to clari	fy or gain additional in	nformation	
5. retell a story or event	t with appropriate sequ	ence and detail	
6. speak with appropria	te articulation		
HI VOCADIU ADVICONCEDES			
III. VOCABULARY/CONCEPTS	4 4		
The student does NOT use or understan	d grade appropriate:		
1. vocabulary		1	
2. antonyms, synonyms 3. idioms and figurative	, multiple meaning wo	oras, nomonyms	
4. inferences	anguage		
4. Interences	tianahina		
5. cause and effect relat	lonsnips.		
6. drawing of conclusio	ns		
IV. SOCIAL LANGUAGE			
The student does NOT :			
1. contribute and stay o	n topic		
2. give relevant informa 3. take conversational t	ation		
3. take conversational t	urns		
4. begin and terminate of	conversations appropri	iately	
V. LITERACY SKILLS			
A. The student does NOT write appropr	riately:		
1 vaina aarraat aanitali	ration and numetuation	1	
2. combining two simple	le sentences to make c	ompound and/or comple	x sentences
3. using pre-writing exp	periences such as brain	storming, webbing, etc.	
2. combining two simples using pre-writing expects. 4. writing 2-3 paragraph	hs about topics		
5. writing different form	ns:		
		c) a research report	

Classroom Communication & Learning Checklist (Continued) Fourth and Fifth Grade

Page Two

6. write complete, grammatic 7. editing his work	cal sentences		
Check the item that best summarizes your imp	pression of this child's langu	iage:	
Language skills are adequate f Language skills are developing Language skills have an effect Language skills have a signific settings.	g and can be addressed in the t on the student's ability to p	e general educational setting articipate in educational setting	
Comments:			
Return this form to:	at	by	

Classroom Communication & Learning Checklist Middle School and High School

Stu	ident:D	ate:	
Te	acher:		
RA	TE the extent to which each of the statements below desc	cribes the studen	t:
Sca			
ты	E STUDENT:	RATING	COMMENTS
111	Listening	KATING	COMMENTS
1.	has trouble paying attention		
2.	has trouble following spoken directions		
3.	has trouble remembering things people say		
4.	has trouble understanding what people are saying		
5.	asks people to repeat what they have said		
6.	has trouble understanding word meanings		
7.	has trouble understanding new ideas		
8.	has trouble looking at people when talking		
	has trouble understanding facial expressions (body language	e)	
	Speaking	-	
10.	has trouble answering questions people ask		
	has trouble answering questions as quickly as others		
	has trouble asking for help		
13.	has trouble asking questions		
	has trouble using a variety of vocabulary words when talking	ng	
	has trouble retrieving the right words		
	has trouble saying what he or she is thinking		
	has trouble describing things to people		
	has trouble staying on the subject when talking		
	has trouble getting to the point when talking		
20.	has trouble putting things in the right order		
21.	uses poor grammar when talking		
22.	has trouble using complete sentences when talking		
23.	talks in short, choppy sentences		
24.	has trouble expanding on an answer or providing details		
25.	has trouble having a conversation with someone		
26.	has trouble talking with a group of people		
27.	has trouble being understood (saying things another way)		
28.	gets upset when people don't understand him/her		
	Reading		
29.	has trouble sounding out words when reading		
30.	has trouble using contextual cues		
31.	has trouble understanding what he/she has read		
32.	has trouble explaining what he/she has read		
33.	has trouble identifying the main idea of what is read		
34.	has trouble remembering details from something read		
35.	has trouble following written directions		

Classroom Communication & Learning Checklist (Continued) Middle School and High School

Page Two

Student:	Date:	
	RATING	COMMENTS
Writing		
36. has trouble writing what he/she is thinking		
37. uses poor grammar when writing		
38. has trouble writing complete sentences39. writes short, choppy sentences		
40. has trouble explaining an answer or providing details in writing		
41. has trouble putting words in the right order in sentences		
Additional Comments		
Return this form to: at		by

Informal Measure of Phonemic Awareness

Name Grade	Date
------------	------

1. Ability to hear rhyme and alliteration (Emerges at age 4-5)

Do these words rhyme?				
	Yes	No		
out/spout				
short/out				
stout/out				
up/out				
shout/out				
rain/out				
spider/out				
without/spout				
TOTAL ERRORS				

Tell me two words that rhyme with:			
	Responses		
see		Errors:	
toe		Nonwds.	
bat		Correct:	
man			
bell			
meat			
fit			
one			
take			

2. Ability to discriminate between sounds

Which two of these words <u>begin</u> with the same				
sound? (Emerges in kindergarte	sound? (Emerges in kindergarten)			
PHONEME LEVEL	Correct	<u>Error</u>		
tap/hug/hit				
rain/rug/apple				
pen/song/pipe				
fire/cloud/food				
run/lamb/rain				
what/rot/win				
ram/lamb/lane				
look/yell/let				
win/rain/why				
TOTALS				

Which two of these words end with the same			
sound? (Emerges in kindergarten)			
RIME LEVEL	Correct	<u>Error</u>	
hit/split/wear	hit/split/wear		
cake/train/snake			
fun/sun/tap			
trip/fish/whip			
PHONEME LEVEL			
hop/camp/sand			
wish/tan/bun			
track/bike/wrap			
hunt/run/bat			
TOTALS			

3. Ability to split and blend sounds into syllables

synabics				
Assess Splitting	g Assess Blending			
SYLLABLE LEVEL	SYLLABLE LEVEL	ONSET & RIME	PHONEME LEVEL	
(Emerges at ages 3-4)	(Emerges in kindergarten)	LEVEL	(Emerges at age 6)	
I'm going to say some words. Clap to show how many syllables or word parts you hear.	I'm going to say some words slowly by stretching them into parts. Listen to the parts and put them back together.	I'm going to say some words slowly by stretching them into parts. Listen to the parts and put them back together.	I'm going to say some words slowly by stretching them into parts. Listen to the parts and put them back together.	
seek	cow boy	c an	/p/ /a /t/	
twilight	sun shine	n ight	/w/ /a/ /sh/	
Tinkerbell	win dow	dr ess	/j/ /u/ /m/ /p/	
butterfly	pen cil	str ike	/s/ /m/ /e/ /l/	
dinner	Errors: /4	Errors: /4	Errors: /4	
Frrors: /5				

4. Ability to segment sounds

SYLLABLE LEVEL Errors:					
	(Emerges at age 4)				
I'm going to say so	I'm going to say some words. Tell me the				
parts you hear.					
bedroom	bed room				
sometimes	some times				
finger	fing ger				
chattering	cha ter ing				
television	tel a vi zhun				
alligator al li ga tor					

PHONEMI	Errors:		
(Emerges at	ages 5-7)		
I'm going to	say some words. Sa	y the word	
slowly and tell me each the sound you hear.			
pan	/p/ /a/ /n/		
tape	/t/ /a/ /p/		
went	/w/ /e/ /n/ /t/		
block	/b/ /l/ /o/ /k/		
smart	/s/ /m/ /ar/ /t/		
stand	/s/ /t/ /a/ /n/ /d/		

5. Ability to manipulate (add, delete, or substitute) sounds

ASSESS DELETION					
SYLLABLE LEVEL	Errors:	ONSET & RIME LEVEL	Errors:		
(Emerges at age 5- first grade)		(Emerges at age 7)			
Say CAMPSITE without the	site	Say BEAT without the /b/.	eat		
CAMP.					
Say BASEBALL without the	base	Say SELL without the /s/.	ell		
BALL.		-			
Say AIRPLANE without the AIR.	plane	Say CRUNCH without the UNCH.	kr		
Say NOTEBOOK without the	note	Say TRACK without the TR.	ack		
BOOK.					
Say UNLOCK without the LOCK.	un	PHONEME LEVEL			
Say RUNNING without the ING.	run	Say CAN'T without the /t/.	can		
Say TWENTY without the TWEN.	ty	Say TRICK without the /t/.	rick		
Say EXTRA without the TRA.	ex	Say SNEEZE without the /s/.	neeze		

ASSESS SUBSTITUT	TION	7
SYLLABLE LEVEL (Emerges at age 7+)		
Change the first part of CLASSROOM to BALL.	ballroom	
Change the last part of RAINCOAT to BOW.	rainbow	
Change the last part of SUNTAN to SHINE.	sunshine	
Change the first part of WATCHDOG to HOT.	hotdog	Errors:
ONSET & RIME LEVEL		
Change the /sm/ in SMELL to /t/,	tell	
Change the /tr/ in TRICK to /st/.	stick	
Change the /pl/ in PLATE to /sk/.	skate	Errors:
PHONEME LEVEL (Emerges at age 7+)		
Change the /t/ in HOT to /p/.	hop	
Change the /ch/in BEACH to /t/.	beet	
Change the /k/ in CAT to /h/.	hat	
Change the /ng/ in SING to /k/.	sick	Errors:

Preschool Language Skills Checklist

Child's Name					
Child's Name DOB:Age: Parent's Name(s):					
Parent's Name(s):					
Parent's Name(s): Examiner:					
Key: A=Always/Accurately/Yes, S=Sometimes/Somewhat, N=Never/Not at all/No					
0 to 6 months:					
Startles in response to sounds					
Smiles when spoken to					
Recognizes voices					
Turns head toward sounds					
Fixes gaze on face Anticipates feeding on sight of bottle and/or spoon					
Anticipates feeding on sight of bottle and/or spoon					
Frequently coos, gurgles and make sounds					
Uses different cries to express different needs					
Vocalizes in response to speech					
Laughs when playing					
Uses sounds or gestures to indicate wants					
Uses P, B, M in babbling					
7 to 12 months:					
Understands "no"					
Recognizes common items					
Understands simple commands (ie "give me")					
Reaches to request an object					
Vocalizes to request or indicate need					
Looks for objects out of sight					
Shakes head "NO" and pushes undesired objects away					
Waves "bye"					
Directs others' behavior by pulling, tugging or patting Participates in "pat-a-cake", "peek-a-boo", and/or "so big"					
Participates in "pat-a-cake", "peek-a-boo", and/or "so big"					
Has a vocabulary of 1-3 words					
Uses speech sounds rather than only crying to get attention					
Uses M, N, T, D, P, B, Z in babbling/jargon					
13 to 18 months:					
Identifies 1-3 body parts					
Understands and responds to own name					
Follows simple routine commands					
Uses echolalia and jargon					
Uses jargon to fill gaps in fluency					
Uses adult like intonation patterns					
Combines gestures and vocalizations					
Expressive vocabulary of 3 to 20 words					
Asks "What this?"					
Requests "more"					
Produces 1 to 2 word phrases					
Says "NO"					

^{**}Normal speech patterns for this age range include: produces mostly unintelligible with omissions of many final and medical consonants and some initial consonants

Preschool Language Skills Checklist

<u>19 to 23 months:</u>	
Identifies 5 body parts	
Receptive vocabulary of 300 or more	
Enjoys listening to stories	
Answers "what's that/" questions	
Uses words more frequently than jargon	
Uses appropriate intonation for questions	
Expressive vocabulary of 50 to 100 words	
Combines nouns and verbs	
Uses pronouns	
Speech is 25-50% intelligible to strangers	
<u>2-0 to 3-0 years:</u>	
Identifies several body parts	
Points to pictures in a book when named	
Comprehends concepts: in, on, under, one and all	
Names everyday items	
Requests items by name	
Requests items by name Answers simple questions; what doing, who	
Asks simple questions, what's that	
Uses 2 to 3 word phrases	
Uses articles such as; a, the	
Uses "ing" (ex. Running)	
Uses contractions such as don't, can't	
Refers to self as "me" versus first name	
Engages in short dialogue	
Uses attention getting words such as; hey, look	
Marks initial consonants	
Has mastered P, M, N, H and vowels	
Speech is 50% intelligible to strangers	
** Normal speech patterns for this age range include: omission of medial sounds and omission or substitution of final sounds.	iS
3-0 to 4-0 years:	
Understands object function	
Identifies things that go together (associations)	
Sort objects into basic categories	
Comprehends concepts: big, little, empty, full, top, in front, in back, around	
Follows one step non routine directions with known concepts	
Uses specific location word (ex. In the barn vs. over there)	
Combines 3 to 4 words in sentences	
Uses a variety of nouns and verbs	
Uses "S" to indicate possession	
Uses regular past tense verbs (ed)	
Uses "S" for regular plurals	
Uses pronouns; he, she, I, you, me, and mine	
Uses negative "Not"	
Uses is, are, am + ing	
Uses conjunction: and	
Asks and answers simple questions; who, what, where, yes/no	
Initiates conversation	
Maintains topic (2-3 turns)	

Preschool Language Skills Checklist

3-0 to 4-0 years continued:
Tells two events in chronological order
Consonants mastered: B, W, D, T
Speech is 75% intelligible to strangers
**Typical speech patterns for this age range include: TH errors, cluster reduction, gliding, depalatalization and stopping
**Typical disfluencies for this age range include: whole and part word repetitions at the beginning of a sentence/phrase
4-0 to 5-0 years:
Follows two step non routine directions with known concepts
Comprehends concepts: between, bottom, short, long, next to, same, different
Pays attention to a story and answers simple questions about it
Combines 4 to 7 words in sentences
Uses pronouns: our their they
Asks and answers simple questions; when, why
Answers questions about function
Answers questions about association
Asks and answers simple questions; when, why Answers questions about function Answers questions about association Labels basic categories With question prompts can describe an object using several descriptors Tells about past event (ex. event that happened at school, friend's house) Accurately retells a story just heard Refers to self as "I" versus first name/me
With question prompts can describe an object using several descriptors
Tells about past event (ex. event that happened at school, friend's house)
Accurately retells a story just heard
Refers to self as "I" versus first name/me
Tells name and age
Refers to self as "I" versus first name/me Tells name and age Uses language to express emotion Consonants mastered: K, G, F
Consonants mastered: K, G, F
Speech is 100% intelligible to strangers
**Typical speech patterns for this age range include: TH errors, Stopping of SH, CH and J, gliding and depalatalization **Typical disfluencies for this age range include: whole and part word repetitions at the beginning of a sentence/phrase
Typioni distribution for unit age range invitate una part word repetitions at the originality principle
<u>5-0 to 6-0 years:</u>
Follows three step non-routines directions with known concepts
Comprehends concepts: first, last, day, night, before, after
Understands humor
Uses reflexive pronouns (ex. myself) Uses pronouns his, her Uses comparative –er, -est Uses irregular plurals (ex. feet/teeth)
Uses pronouns his, her
Uses comparative –er, -est
Uses irregular plurals (ex. feet/teeth)
Uses conjunctions: or, but
Sentence length decreased due to complexity
Name opposites
Can tell one difference and one similarity between two items
Asks and answers simple questions; how, what if
Sequences 4 pictures of events and tells the related story given the pictures
Without question prompts can describe an object using several descriptors
Consonants mastered: NG, Y (stridency should be present in speech – S distortion is still okay but they
should mark stridency with another strident s/sh)
Speech is 100% intelligible to strangers **Typical speech patterns for this age range include: TH errors, gliding and depalatelization

MLU RANGES

Table 3.2 PREDICTED MLU RANGES AND LINGUISTIC STAGES OF CHILDREN WITHIN ONE PREDICTED STANDARD DEVIATION OF PREDICTED MEAN

			Brown's Stages within 1 SD of Predicted MLU								
Age ± 1 Mo	Predicted MLU	Predicted SD	Predicted MLU ± 1 SD (Middle	EI	LI	II	III	EIV	LIV/EV	LV	Post V
18	1.31	.325	68%) .99 – 1.64	X	X						
21	1.62	.386	1.23-2.01	X	X	X					
24	1.92	.448	1.47-2.37	X	X	X					
27	2.23	.510	1.72-2.74	11	X	X	X				
30	2.54	.571	1.97-3.11		X	X	X	X			
33	2.85	.633	2.22-3.48			X	X	X			
36	3.16	.694	2.47-3.85			X	X	X	X		
39	3.47	.756	2.71-4.23				X	X	X	X	
42	3.78	.817	2.96-4.60				X	X	X	X	X
45	4.09	.879	3.21-4.97					X	X	X	X
48	4.40	.940	3.46-5.34					X	X	X	X
51	4.71	1.002	3.71-5.71						X	X	X
54	5.02	1.064	3.96-6.08						X	X	X
57	5.32	1.125	4.20-6.45							X	X
60	5.63	1.187	4.44-6.82							X	X

a) MLU is predicted from the equation MLU = -.548 + .103 (AGE)

From "The Relation between Age and Mean Length of Utterance in Morphemes," by J.F. Miller and R.S. Chapman, 1981, *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 24(2), p.158. ©1981 by the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association.

KEY:

EI= Early (stage) I

LI= Late (stage) I

II= Stage II

III= Stage III

EIV= Early (stage) IV

LIV/EV= Late (stage) IV to Early (stage) V

LV=Late (stage) V

Post V

b) SD is predicted from the equation SD MLU= -.0446 + .0205 (AGE)

Observation for Preschool Speech/Language

Stu	dent:	DOB:Date:				
Tea	cher:	School/Setting:				
Obs	server:	-				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Cho	eck those items that describe the child's speech/la	nguage skills.				
011		88-				
I.	Attending					
_,	Localizes to sounds	Responds to his/her name				
	Attends to voices	Attends to task				
	1 received to voices					
II.	Vocalizations					
11.	☐ Vocalizes spontaneously	☐ Vocalizes to indicate wants/needs				
	Imitates vocalizations	How?				
	initiates vocanzations	Produces words				
TTT	Language	1 loddees words				
111.	A. Expressive:					
	Typical for age	☐ Speaks in: ☐ single words				
	Vocabulary	2-3 word phrase				
	Uses:					
		complete sentences				
	☐ 0-10 words ☐ 11-20 words	Uses verb forms (-ing, -ed)				
		Confuses pronouns (I, he, she)				
	21-50 words	☐ Difficulty forming sentences				
	over 50 words					
	B. Receptive:	□ D:00 1/ 1 1 /				
	Typical for age	☐ Difficulty answering wh – questions				
	Does not follow directions well	(who – what – where – when – why)				
	☐ Vocabulary	Difficulty answering yes/no questions				
	0-10 words	(e.g., "Do you want more?)				
	11-20 words	☐ Difficulty with comprehension				
	21-50 words					
	over 50 words					
IV.	Articulation					
	Can be easily understood	Can seldom be understood				
	Has noticeable errors but can be understood	Understood only when topic is known				
	Substitutes sounds for other sounds(e.g., w/l, t/k, d/g, t/f)					
	Leaves out sounds	<u> </u>				
	☐ Difficulty expressing thoughts clearly					
	~					
	Social					
Wha	at is child's primary form of communication? (gestures, w					
	Initiates communication	Demonstrates turn – taking behavior				
	☐ Indicates emotion – How?	Retells immediate experiences				
VI.	Fluency					
	Typical	Other Behaviors: (as related to stuttering)				
	Stutters	Blinks eyes				
	When: Conversation	Jerks head/leg				
	☐ Answering questions	Other:				
VII	. <u>Vo</u> ice					
	Typical	Nasal (through nose)				
	Hoarse (as if losing voice)	Sounds like a cold				

Parent Questionnaire

Date://							
We are preparing to evaluate/screen your child. Since information from parents is an essential part of the process, we would like you to fill out this form as completely as possible and return it.							
We understand that it takes time to answer these questions, and that you may have provided some of the information before. However, complete and up-to-date information is very important to help us understand your child's situation and plan for each student.							
We also know that some of the information asked for is personal and of a private nature. Please be assured that the information you give will be treated confidentially, used in the best interest of your child, and made available only to appropriate school personnel. If you have questions about any item, or concerns about responding to a particular question, or would like to go over the questions with a member of the Diagnostic Team, please do not hesitate to call the school. Thank you.							
S	TUDEN	T and FAMILY	INFORMAT	TION			
Child's name		В	irth date / /	Age			
Child's name Address School		Z	ip	Phone			
School		Grac	le V	Vork Phone			
Email		Alter	nate number_				
Mother's name		_Address					
Father's name		_ Address					
Parents' marital status: Married Separated Divorced Widowed Single Parent (circle one)							
Who has legal authority to sign	Who has legal authority to sign papers for this child?						
If your child does not live with	both bio	logical parents, v	what visitation	arrangements a	re made?		
Language spoken at home		Who d	oes the child li	ve with?			
Brothers and Sisters							
Name	Age	Grade	Sch	iool	Child Care		
041	. 1 1	.13		·			
	Other people also living in the household						
Name Age Relationship To Student							

Birth History				
Did mother have any problems during the pregnancy?	If yes, please tell us about them.			
Did mother smoke cigarettes during the pregnancy? If so, how many packs per day? Did mother take or use any drugs or alcohol while she was pregnant? What was taken or used?				
Were there any stressful events or accidents during the pregn	nancy?Please explain			
Was your child premature? How many weeks?				
Were there any injuries or problems at birth? If so, p	lease tell us what happened.			
Were there any delivery complications, such as breech, C-see explain.	• •			
What was the baby's birth weight?lbsoz. H hospital?				
Health History	y			
Please check the illnesses or problems your child has had and amount of the illnesses or problems your child has had and amount of the illnesses of problems your child has had and illnesses of problems	ashes □Tics/Twitching □Ear infections/tubes □Constipation/Diarrhea ove 104 □Wetting/Soiling			
Does your child wear glasses? Since when? • What is the nature of the vision problem? (near or fa				
 Does your child seem to have typical hearing? Does your child take any medication? For what?	Date of last hearing test// Since when?			
WH 4: 41 1:: 11 C:: 44 1 0				
Does your child have a typical amount of energy?	If no, is the problem not enough or too			
much? Is he/she absent from school too much? Does your child have any nervous habits, such as nail biting or thumb sucking? When did this begin? When did this				
Developmental His	story			
Sit up alone months Ask Stand alone months Tal Begin to crawl months Star	ng? / first wordsmonths k simple questionsyears k in sentencesyears rt toilet trainingyears ish toilet trainingyears			

In the following section, several stages of childhood are listed, along with groups of words that often describe children at those stages.

Please check all of the words that describe your child during each one of the following stages.

Early Infancy - Birth	Early Infancy - Birth to 1 year						
□Hard to wean	□Contented	□Rocking	□Crying	□Head banging			
□Underactive	□ Feeding problems	□Fussy	□Demanding	□ Good natured			
□Difficult to soothe							
Late Infancy - Ages 1		A CC	CI.	D			
□Cooperative	□Uncoordinated	□ Affectionate	□Clingy	□Destructive			
□Fearful	□Wanderer	□Whining	□Overactive	□Demanding			
□Independent	□Нарру	□Tantrums	□Underactive	□Night Terrors			
□Dependent							
Early Childhood - Ago	es 3 to 5 years						
□Careless	□Loving	□Excitable	□Fearful	□Underactive			
□Untruthful	□Angry	□Friendly	□Tearful	□Helpful			
□Lonely	□Imaginative	□Destructive	□Nightmares	□Defiant			
□Talkative	□Considerate	□Eating problems	□Motivated	□Demanding			
□Neat	□Temper tantrums	□Funny	□Moody	□Sad			
□ Restless sleeper	□Follows directions	□Overactive	□Stubborn	□ Distractible			
□Awkward	□Shy						
Comments							
-							
	Speed	ch Development					
Is your child's speech u	ınderstandable?	If not, why?					
 Does your child 	d seem to have a difficul	t time expressing though	ts or ideas?				
 Does your child 	d stutter? If so	o, it is occasionally or free	quently?				
Do any other family me	ambara barra anaaab nrab	Jama ⁹ If as n	laaga dagariba th	0.100			
Do any other ranning me	embers have speech prot	olems? If so, p	iease describe in	eIII			
Please tell us about any	other concerns about vo	our child's speech develo	nment				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F				
General Information							
Has your child ever been evaluated/tested? If so, where and when?							
Has your child ever been tested by any other agency? If yes, when and by what agency?							
mas your child ever bee	on tested by any other ag	ency: II yes, when and b	y what agency?_				
- What was the	What were the findings?						
 What were the 	findings?			_			

such as a death in the family, separations or divorce, proproblems.	blems with siblin	gs, depression, substance abuse
Does your child like school? What do you thin school? (school work, following rules, getting along wit	k your child has t h classmates, tead	he most difficulty with at chers, etc.) Please describe.
Have other members of your family had similar problen		res, please tell us about them.
What other family members have received special educa-		
What classes or services were provided for them?		
Please check any of the following problems your child r Daydreams Overeating or under-estimated problems your child r Daydreams Solvereating or under-estimated problems your child r Solvereating or under-estimated problems your child r Solvereating or under-estimated problems your child r Solvereating or under-estimated problems problems problems problems of being p Fire setting problems your child r Stealing problems your child r Ste	eating icked on ty	□Lying □Refusal to obey □Swears □Withdrawn/loner □Bullies other children
Who usually disciplines your child? • Which method of discipline is used most often? □Scolding □Removing privileges • How often is this necessary? □1-2 times/week □3-4 times/week • Do you feel this works well?	□Time-out	□Spanking
Thank you again for taking the time to complete this quells there anything else you wish to share?	estionnaire. Pleas	e sign and date it below.
Signature		
Date/		

SPEECH

Considerations

Evaluation Data

The following measures are appropriate for use in determining the presence of a speech sound impairment:

- Speech sample
- Contextual probe
- Structured observation
- Classroom work
- Other curriculum/academic results
- Standardized test(s)
- Teacher report, interview, or checklist
- Child report, interview, or checklist
- Parent report, interview, or checklist

NOTE: Teacher, child, and parent reports, interviews, or checklists are not sufficient evidence by themselves and must be supported with additional data.

Overall Functional Level

The speech-language pathologist should complete the attached rating scale first, adding the points assigned to each factor. Then the total points should be applied to the Speech Rating Scale Overall Functional Level to determine an overall severity rating.

Intelligibility

One procedure would be to select 100 consecutive words from contextual speech. Determine the percentage of words understood based on a tape-recorded sample (Weiss, 1980). For additional information on evaluating intelligibility of children's speech, the following article may be useful: The Intelligibility of Children's Speech: A Review of Evaluation Procedures *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, Vol.3 81-95, May 1994. http://ajslp.asha.org/cgi/content/abstract/3/2/81

Speech sound (segmental) production:

A standardized articulation test should be administered. Percentile rank and standard scores are used for determining point allocation for category B1. If a *Phonological Process* analysis is used, the SLP should use a standardized assessment which allows for phonological analysis e.g., Goldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation (Khan-Lewis) or the HAPP-3 (Hodson).

Speech-language pathologists may find it helpful to refer to normative data when explaining speech sound development. You may determine developmental appropriateness by using the Iowa-Nebraska (I-N) norms (Smit, et al, 1990. See Appendix 1, pg.48). These norms were originally published in a *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders* article and reflect the most recent and comprehensive normative study that has been reported. While results are comparable to those of Templin (1957), the I-N norms represent a larger normative sample. Sanders' (1972) report of normative data does not reflect data that is original to him, but rather represent a reinterpretation (albeit useful) of Templin's normative data.

There is literature to support children with lateral productions of fricatives and affricates will typically need intervention to correct these misarticulations because they are not developmental patterns. If students are not determined eligible using the Speech Severity Rating Scale, the IEP team may determine eligibility based on this knowledge.

Phonological Processes

The following are *minimal requirements* for qualifying a sound change error as a phonological process:

- 1. A process must affect more than one sound from a given sound class. For example, the omission of [t] from the end of words does not necessarily signal the process of final consonant deletion. Deletion of at least one additional plosive [p, b, d, k, g] must also be observed.
- 2. The sound change or process must occur at least 40% of the time. An inconsistent sound change indicates only a potential phonological process. In other words, if the student uttered ten words containing final consonants, s/he must delete the consonant in at least four of those words in order for the pattern to be considered as that of final consonant deletion. An inconsistent sound change may also signal that the student is in a transition phase of development, i.e., the student is gradually eliminating the process on his/her own as sound productions become more developmentally appropriate.

Stimulability

Data suggest that lack of stimulability for a misarticulated sound is a good indicator of the error's impact on intelligibility. In addition, if a child is not stimulable for a sound that is developmentally appropriate, it is less likely that it can be acquired without direct intervention. Stimulability is determined for all error sounds, regardless of developmental appropriateness. Determine stimulability using the Miccio Probe, the GFTA, or clinician-designed measures.

School-Aged Speech Criteria

A speech sound disorder (impairment) is characterized by a failure to use speech sounds that are appropriate for a person's age and linguistic dialect. Such errors in sound productions may interfere with intelligibility, social communication, and/or academic and vocational achievement. Students cannot be considered to have a speech sound disorder based on dialect characteristics that are consistent with cultural and/or linguistic diversity.

Children who evidence problems with hearing, and/or the structure and function of the speech mechanism (e.g., cleft palate), or motor speech difficulty (e.g., apraxia) should be viewed differently than those with more common developmental speech sound disorders. The presence of such etiological variables would suggest a high priority for intervention. After intervention, when the child has reached a plateau in his/her motor skills and has mastered compensatory strategies, the child may not require continued services.

This rating scale represents the most current research in the area of speech sound disorders at the time of initial implementation (2010).

The presence of a speech sound disorder does not guarantee the child's eligibility for special education, as its impact on the child's overall communication and educational performance must be considered.

How to complete the Speech Rating Scale (Assign the appropriate score for each of the four categories)

Row A (Intelligibility)

Row B1 (Speech Sounds) **OR** Row B2 (Phonological Processes)

Row C (Stimulability)

Row D (Adverse affect on educational performance)

- Use the Teacher Input Form regarding articulation to assess the adverse affect on educational performance.
- 1. Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0-3 No Speech Sound Disorder (ineligible)

Total Score: 4-6 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 7-9 Moderate Total Score: 10-12 Severe

2. The comment section may include statements regarding discrepancies among individual tests, subtests, classroom performance and other factors that are relevant to the determination of severity.

Speech Severity Rating Scale

Factors Intelligibility (connected speech) OR Percent Consonants Correct Speech sounds (segmental productions) (e.g., GFTA, Arizona,)	(0 pts) Age 5+: 90% or > OR 85-100% = typical	(1 pts) Age 5+: 80-90% OR 65-84% = mild	(2 pts) Age 5+:70 – 80% OR 50-64%= moderate	(3 pts) Age 5+: <70% OR 0-49% = severe	Assigned
(connected speech) OR Percent Consonants Correct Speech sounds (segmental productions) (e.g.,	<u>OR</u> 85-100% = typical	<u>OR</u>	OR 50-64%=	<u>OR</u>	
(segmental productions) (e.g.,	SS 86-100				
	50%ile SD 099 below the mean	SS 78-85 16%ile SD 1.0-1.49 below the mean	SS 70-77 7%ile SD 1.5-1.99 below the mean	SS < 70 2%ile SD 2.0> below the mean	
Phonological Processes (e.g., HAPP-3, Khan- Lewis)	No error processes	One or more of the following error processes occur in 40% or more available opportunities: • gliding of liquids • cluster reductions with /l/, /r/, /w/ • vowelization of post-vocalic liquids (/r/,/1/)	One or more of the following error processes occur in 40% or more of available opportunities: • weak syllable deletion • cluster reduction with /s/ • fronting of velars	One or more of the following error processes occur 40% or more of available opportunities: • initial consonant deletion • final consonant deletion • stopping • depalatization of final singletons	
Stimulability (Miccio, GFTA, informal)	90% of the error sounds are stimulable	60 – 89% of the error sounds are stimulable.	50 -59% of the error sounds are stimulable.	Less than 50% of the error sounds are stimulable.	
Educational Impact	Articulation skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational settings	Articulation skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational setting	Articulation skills have an effect on the student's ability to participate in educational settings	Articulation skills have a significant impact on the student's ability to participate in educational settings	
1	(Miccio, GFTA, informal) Educational	(Miccio, GFTA, sounds are stimulable Educational Articulation skills are adequate for the student's participation in	Stimulability (Miccio, GFTA, sounds are stimulable stimulable. Educational Impact Articulation skills are adequate for the student's participation in the general	Stimulability (Miccio, GFTA, informal) Educational Impact Articulation skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational settings Iiquids (/r/,/1/) 60 – 89% of the error sounds are stimulable. Articulation skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational setting Iiquids (/r/,/1/) 50 -59% of the error sounds are stimulable. Articulation skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational setting	Itiquids (/r/,/1/) Itiquids (/r/,/1/) Itiquids

Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0-3 No Speech Sound Disorder (ineligible)

Total Score: 4-6 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 7-9 Moderate Total Score: 10-12 Severe

Comments:			

Speech Severity Rating Scale Overall Functional Level

Level	Condition	Educational Impact
Level 0 (0-3 points)	The student's connected speech	Speech skills are adequate for the
Ineligible	during educational activities is	student's participation in
	consistently understood and not	educational/developmentally
	distracting to the listener.	appropriate settings.
	Student's verbal participation in	
	educational activities is rarely	
	limited by self-consciousness or	
	listener reaction.	
Level 1 (4 – 6 points)	The ability to understand the	Speech skills are developing and
Mild (Ineligible)	student's connected speech in	can be addressed in the general
	educational activities may be	educational/developmentally
	affected by listener familiarity	appropriate setting.
	and/or knowledge of the context.	
	The student's articulation is	
	occasionally distracting to the listener. The student's verbal	
	participation in educational	
	activities may occasionally be	
	limited by self-consciousness	
	about listener reactions to his/her	
	speech.	
Level 2 (7 – 9 points)	The student's connected speech	Speech skills have an effect on
Moderate	in educational activities requires	the student's ability to participate
1120 4514110	context cues to be understood.	in educational/developmentally
	The student's articulation is	appropriate settings.
	usually distracting to the listener.	
	The student is aware of errors.	
	The student's verbal participation	
	in educational activities may	
	frequently be limited by self-	
	consciousness about listener	
	reactions to his/her speech.	
Level 3 (10 – 12 points)	The student's connected speech	Speech skills have a significant
Severe	in educational activities is rarely	impact on the student's ability to
	understood in known context.	participate in
	The student may or may not be	educational/developmentally
	aware of errors and is rarely	appropriate settings.
	stimulable for correct production.	
	The student's verbal participation	
	in educational activities is	
	usually limited by self-	
	consciousness about listener	
	reactions to his/her speech.	

Preschool Speech Criteria

A speech sound disorder (impairment) is characterized by a failure to use speech sounds that are appropriate for a person's age and linguistic dialect. Such errors in sound productions may interfere with intelligibility, social communication, and/or academic and vocational achievement. Students cannot be considered to have a speech sound disorder based on dialectal characteristics that are consistent with cultural and/or linguistic diversity.

Children who evidence problems with hearing, or the structure and function of the speech mechanism (e.g., cleft palate), or motor speech difficulty (e.g., apraxia) should be viewed differently than those with more common developmental speech sound disorders. The presence of such etiological variables would suggest a high priority for intervention. After intervention, when the child has reached a plateau in his/her motor skills and has mastered compensatory strategies, the child may not require continued services.

This rating scale represents the most current research in this area of speech sound disorders at the time of initial implementation (2010).

The presence of an articulation/phonological impairment does not guarantee the child's eligibility for special education.

How to complete the Speech Rating Scale for PRESCHOOLERS (Assign the appropriate score for each of the four categories)

- Row A (Intelligibility **OR** Percent of Consonants Correct)
- Row B1 (Speech Sounds) **OR** Row B2 (Phonological Processes)
- Row C (Impact on Social Development)
- Use the 'Observation of Speech/Language for Preschool' to assist with determining score for this category.
- 1. Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0-3 No Speech Sound Disorder (ineligible)

Total Score: 4-5 Mild (ineligible)
Total Score: 6-9 Moderate to Severe

2. The comment section may include statements regarding discrepancies among individual tests, subtests, classroom performance and other factors that are relevant to the determination of severity.

Preschool Speech Severity Rating Scale

	Factors	No Disability	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Points
	Intelligibility	(0 pts) Age 2.5-3: 75% or >	(1 pts) Age 2.5-3: 65-75%	(2 pts) Age 2.5-3: 50-65%	(3 pts) Age 2.5-3: <50%	Assigned
A	(connected speech) OR Percent Consonants Correct	Age 4: 85% or > Age 5+: 90% or > OR 85-100% = typical	Age 4: 75-85% Age 5+: 80-90% OR 65-84% = mild	Age 4: 65 – 75% Age 5+:70 – 80% OR 50-64%= moderate	Age 4: <65% Age 5+: <70% OR 0-49% = severe	
B 1	Speech sounds (segmental productions) (e.g., GFTA, Arizona, PAT)	SS 86-100 50%ile SD 099 below the mean	SS 78-85 16%ile SD 1.0-1.49 below the mean	SS 70-77 7%ile SD 1.5-1.99 below the mean	SS < 70 2%ile SD 2.0> below the mean	
B 2	Phonological Processes (e.g., HAPP-3, Khan- Lewis)	No error processes	One or more of the following error processes occur in 40% or more of available opportunities: • gliding of liquids • cluster reductions with /l/, /r/, /w/ • vowelization of post-vocalic liquids (/r/,/1/)	One or more of the following error processes occur in 40% or more of available opportunities: • weak syllable deletion • cluster reduction with /s/ • fronting of velars	One or more of the following error processes occur 40% or more of available opportunities: • initial consonant deletion • final consonant deletion • stopping • depalatization of final singletons	
С	Social/Emotional Impact	Speech skills are adequate for the child's participation in varied settings: - Preschool - Daycare - Home No awareness of sound errors	Speech skills are developing and child can be understood in varied settings: - Preschool - Daycare - Home Limited awareness of errors	Speech skills have an effect on the child's ability to participate in varied settings: - Preschool - Daycare - Home Child shows some frustration when not understood.	Speech skills have a significant impact on child's ability to participate in varied settings: - Preschool - Daycare - Home Child shows significant frustration (e.g., tantrums, withdrawal)	
	l	1	I	TOTAL POI	NTS	

Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0 - 3 No Speech Sound Disorder (ineligible)

Total Score: 4 - 5 Mild (ineligible)
Total Score: 6 - 9 Moderate to Severe

Comments:			

Preschool Speech Severity Rating Scale Overall Functional Level

Level	Condition	Educational Impact
Level 0 (0-3 points)	The child's connected speech	Speech skills are adequate for
Ineligible	during educational activities is consistently understood and not distracting to the listener. The child's verbal participation in educational/developmental activities is rarely limited by self-consciousness or listener reaction.	the student's participation in educational/developmentally appropriate settings.
Level 1 (4 – 5 points) Mild (Ineligible)	The ability to understand the child's connected speech in educational/developmental activities may be affected by listener familiarity and/or knowledge of the context. The child's articulation is occasionally distracting to the listener. Verbal participation in educational/developmental activities may occasionally be limited by self-consciousness about listener reactions to his/her speech.	Speech skills are developing and can be addressed in the general educational/developmentally appropriate setting.
Level 2 (6 points) Moderate	The child's connected speech in educational/developmental activities requires contextual cues to be understood. The child's articulation is usually distracting to the listener. The child is aware of errors and verbal participation in educational/developmental activities may frequently be limited by self-consciousness about listener reactions to his/her speech.	Speech skills have an effect on the student's ability to participate in educational/developmentally appropriate settings.
Level 3 (7- 9 points) Severe	The child's connected speech in educational/developmental activities is rarely understood in known context. The child may or may not be aware of errors. The child's verbal participation in educational/developmental activities is usually limited by self-consciousness about listener reactions to his/her speech.	Speech skills have a significant impact on the student's ability to participate in educational/developmentally appropriate settings.

SPEECH APPENDICES

(Attached are resources that MAY be used in the assessment process to help the SLP determine eligibility)

- 1. Iowa-Nebraska Articulation Norms
- 2. MICCIO Stimulability Probe (Instructions and chart)
- 3. Percent Consonants Correct (Instructions and chart)
- **4.** Sound Development chart Age at which 90% of males have acquired each phoneme and word-initial cluster
- 5. Sound Development chart Age at which 90% of females have acquired each phoneme and word-initial cluster
- **6.** Teacher Input Speech Sound Production
- 7. Phonological Processes (3 pages)
- 8. Oral Speech Mechanism Screening

Other useful tools may be found in the *Assessment in Speech-Language Pathology*, *A Resource Manual*, 4th Edition, Demlar-Cengage (2009)

${\bf Iowa-Nebraska~Articulation~Norms}^1$

Listed below are the recommended ages of acquisition for phonemes and clusters, based generally on the age at which 90% of the children correctly produced the sound.

Note regarding phoneme positions: /m/ refers to prevocalic and postvocalic positions /h-/ refers to prevocalic positions /-f/ refers to postvocalic positions

Phoneme	Age of Acquisition (Females)	Age of Acquisition (Males)
/m/	3;0	3;0
/n/	3;6	3;0
/a/	7;0	7;0
/h-/	3;0	3;0
/w-/	3;0	3;0
/ j -/	4;0	5;0
/ p /	3;0	3;0
/b/	3;0	3;0
/t/	4;0	3;6
/d/	3;0	3;6
/k/	3;6	3;6
/g/	3;6	4;0
/ f -/	3;6	3;6
/- f /	5;6	5;6
/v/	5;6	5;6
/*/	6;0	8;0
/;/	4;6	7;0
/s/	7;0	7;0
/z/	7;0	7;0
/c/	6;0	7;0
/./	6;0	7;0
/j/	6;0	7;0
/1-/	5;0	6;0
/-1/	6;0	7;0
/r-/	8;0	8;0
/5/	8;0	8;0

Word-Initial Clusters	Age of Acquisition (Females)	Age of Acquisition (Males)
/tw kw/	4;0	5;6
/sp st sk/	7;0	7;0
/sm sn/	7;0	7;0
/sw/	7;0	7;0
/sl/	7;0	7;0
/pl bl kl gl fl/	5;6	6;0
/pr br tr dr kr gr fr/	8;0	8;0
/'r/	9;0	9;0
/skw/	7;0	7;0
/spl/	7;0	7;0
/spr str skr/	9;0	9;0

Adapted from the Virginia Department of Education – Revised 8/15/2006 from Smit, Hand, Freilinger, Bernthal, and Bird (1990). *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 55, 779-798

The Miccio Stimulability Probe

Use of the Miccio Probe is best described in Miccio's article in the American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, Volume 11, Issue 3. "To facilitate quick administration of a stimulability probe, only sounds absent from the inventory are tested. The student is asked to imitate these specific consonants in isolation or nonsense syllables. Those sounds imitated correctly some of the time (at least 30% of possible opportunities) are presumed to be stimulable....If multiple sounds are absent from the inventory, the probe may be shortened by administering only one vowel context during the initial assessment. In the complete probe, a child has 10 opportunities to produce a sound: in isolation and in three word positions in three vowel contexts, [i], [u], and [a]. The corner vowel contexts: a high (or close) unround front vowel, a high round back vowel, and a low unround vowel usually reveal any consonant-vowel dependencies. If time does not permit the completion of the probe, stimulability is tested in isolation and with the vowel [a], for example, [sa], [asa], [asa].

[i] as in beat

[u] as in shoe

[a] as in pop

Nonstimulable sounds are least likely to change without direct treatment (Powell, Elbert, & Dinnsen, 1991). In addition, Miccio, Elbert, & Forrest (1999) found that sounds that are stimulable undergo the most change in the absence of treatment. These results suggest that stimulable sounds are being acquired naturally and may not require direct treatment.

For a video demonstration on the Miccio probe, refer to the Virginia Department of Education website at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/special_ed/iep_instruct_svcs/related_services/speech_language/index.shtml and click on the *Rating Stimulability for the SRS* link.

Miccio Stimulability Probe

Name:											
Transcriber:											
Date:											
Prompt: "Look at me	e, listen, and s	ay what	t I say.	,							
Sound	Isolation	_i	i_i	i	a	a_a	a_	u	u_u	u_	% Correct
p											
b											
t											
d											
k											
g											
ť											
;											
f											
v											
S											
Z											
С											
3											
•											
j											
m											
n											
a											
W											
j											
h											
l											
r											_

Percentage of Consonants Correct

The procedures below are based on the recommendations of Shriberg and Kwiatkowski (1982), but are abbreviated for purposes of simplicity.

- 1. Obtain a tape-recorded connected speech sample that will include 90 different words usually a sample of around 225 total words is sufficient. If the child is so unintelligible that it is impossible to identify this number of different words, then a single word assessment tool can be used to gather a corpus of single word productions for analysis.
- 2. Only consonants are scored, not vowels (i.e., only the consonantal /r/ is scored).
- 3. Score only the first production of a consonant if a syllable is repeated (e.g., ba-balloon. Score only the first production of /b/).
- 4. Do not score consonants if a word is unintelligible or only partially intelligible.
- 5. Errors include substitutions, deletions, distortions, and additions. Voicing errors are onlyscored for consonants in the initial position of words.
- 6. If /ng/ is replaced with /n/ at the end of a word, do not score it as an error. Likewise, minorsound changes due to informal speech and/or selection of sounds in unstressed syllables arenot scored as errors (e.g.,/fider/ for "feed her," /dono/ for "don't know").
- 7. Dialectal variations are not scored as errors.
- 8. To determine the PCC value use the following formula:

Number of Correct Consonants	
	X 100 = PCC
Total Number of Consonants	

For a video demonstration on the Percent Consonants Correct refer to the Virginia Department of Education website at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/special_ed/iep_instruct_svcs/related_services/speech_language/index.shtml and click on the *Rating Phonological Processes for the SRS* link.

Percentage of Consonants Correct Summary

Name:	Age:	Source of Spec		
Examiner:		Number of Words:		Date:
Target Word	Target Consonants	Child's Production	Number of Consonants in Target Word	Number of Consonants Correct
		Total		
Number of co	onsonants correct	÷ number of consc	nants in target wo	rd =
	X 100 = [% consonants		
		everity Rating	PCC	
		evere disorder	0 -4 9% 50-64%	
		moderate disorder	65–84%	
		cal development	85–100%	

Sound Development Chart
Age at which 90% of MALES have acquired each phoneme and word-initial cluster

Phoneme	yrs: mo	3.0	3.6	4.0	4.6	5.0	5.6	6.0	6.6	7.0	7.6	8.0	8.6	9.0
m														
h initial														
w initial														
b b														
n														
d f														
f														
k														
t														
g j initial														
f final														
v														
1														
sh														
ch														
l final														
th voiced														
dz														
th														
R														
r final														
voiced														
ng final														
S														
S Z														
Word-initia	al .	3.0	3.6	4.0	46	5.0	5.6	6.0	6.6	7.0	7.6	8.0	8.6	9.0
clusters	ш	3.0	3.0	7.0	7.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	7.0
tw kw														
pl bl kl gl														
fl														
pr br tr dr														
kr gr fr														
sp st sk														
sm sn														
sw														
sl														
skw														
spl														
spr str skr														
thr														

Sound Development Chart

Age at which 90% of **FEMALES** have acquired each phoneme and word-initial cluster

Phoneme	yrs: mo	3.0	3.6	4.0	4.6	5.0	5.6	6.0	6.6	7.0	7.6	8.0	8.6	9.0
m														
h initial														
w initial														
p														
b														
d														
f														
k														
g														
n														
j initial														
t														
th voiced														
1														
f final														
V														
sh														
ch														
I final														
th														
r														
r final														
voiced														
ng final														
S														
z														
										_				
Word-initia	al	3.0	3.6	4.0	4.6	5.0	5.6	6.0	6.6	7.0	7.6	8.0	8.6	9.0
clusters														
tw kw														
pl bl kl gl														
fl														
pr br tr dr														
kr gr fr														
sp st sk														
sm sn														
SW														
sl														
skw														
spl														
spr str skr														
thr		1 (1000)			1			1	T 1 1	1.	. ,			

Source: Smit, A. et al (1990). The Iowa articulation norms project and its Nebraska replication. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 55, 779-798

Teacher Input – Speech Sound Production

Your observations and responses concerning the above student will help determine if this student has a sound problem which adversely affects educational performance. Please return the completed form to the speech-language pathologist. Is this student's intelligibility reduced to the extent that you find it difficult to understand him/her?	Student: Grade:						
Your observations and responses concerning the above student will help determine if this student has a sound problem which adversely affects educational performance. Please return the completed form to the speech-language pathologist. Is this student's intelligibility reduced to the extent that you find it difficult to understand him/her?							
1. Is this student's intelligibility reduced to the extent that you find it difficult to understand him/her? If Yes, check appropriate descriptions:	Your observations and responses	concerning the above student will help determine if this student	has a sound problem which				
him/her? If Yes, check appropriate descriptions:	adversely affects educational perf	formance. Please return the completed form to the speech-langua	ge pathologist.				
If Yes, check appropriate descriptions:		reduced to the extent that you find it difficult to understand					
Student's speech is% intelligible even though some sound errors may be present. 2. Does this student appear frustrated or embarrassed because of his/her production errors? 3. Does the student avoid speaking in class or in other situations because of his/her production errors? 4. Has this student ever expressed concern about his/her production errors? 5. Does the student is speech distract listeners from what the student is saying? 6. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student make reading problems due to articulation problems? 11. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere minimal impact							
Student's speech is% intelligible even though some sound errors may be present. 2. Does this student appear frustrated or embarrassed because of his/her production errors? 3. Does the student avoid speaking in class or in other situations because of his/her production errors? 4. Has this student ever expressed concern about his/her production errors? 5. Does the student's speech distract listeners from what the student is saying? 6. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student have difficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 9. Does the student have fifficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 10. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one.	If Yes, check appropriate des						
Student's speech is% intelligible even though some sound errors may be present. 2. Does this student appear frustrated or embarrassed because of his/her production errors? 3. Does the student avoid speaking in class or in other situations because of his/her production errors? 4. Has this student ever expressed concern about his/her production errors? 5. Does the student's speech distract listeners from what the student is saying? 6. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 11. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
2. Does this student appear frustrated or embarrassed because of his/her production errors? 3. Does the student avoid speaking in class or in other situations because of his/her production errors? 4. Has this student ever expressed concern about his/her production errors? 5. Does the student's speech distract listeners from what the student is saying? 6. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student have difficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student make errorect articulation errors? 11. Does the student make reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere		Considerable Difficulty					
3. Does the student avoid speaking in class or in other situations because of his/her production errors? 4. Has this student ever expressed concern about his/her production errors? 5. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere minimal impact more and of the student specific educational performance. Do not adversely affect educational performance. Do adversely affect educational performance.	Student's speech is% in	telligible even though some sound errors may be present.					
4. Has this student ever expressed concern about his/her production errors? 5. Does the student's speech distract listeners from what the student is saying? 6. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student have difficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere minimal impact minimal impact miterferes seriously limits 1 is my opinion that these behaviors: Do not adversely affect educational performance. Do adversely affect educational performance. Do adversely affect educational performance.							
4. Has this student ever expressed concern about his/her production errors? 5. Does the student's speech distract listeners from what the student is saying? 6. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student have difficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 11. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere minimal impact minimal impact	*	ing in class or in other situations because of his/her production					
5. Does the student's speech distract listeners from what the student is saying? 6. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 9. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 10. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
6. Does the student have age-appropriate awareness of sounds in words and ability to rhyme, segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student have difficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
segment, and manipulate sounds in words? 7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student have difficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 11. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
7. Does the student make the same errors when reading aloud as s/he does when speaking? 8. Does the student have difficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
8. Does the student have difficulty discriminating sounds and/or words from each other? 9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
9. Does the student make spelling errors that appear to be associated with speaking errors? 10. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
10. Does the student self-correct articulation errors? 11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
11. Does the student have reading problems due to articulation problems? 12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one.							
12. Does the student mispronounce during reading of words containing error sounds? 13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere							
13. Rate the impact of the student's speech errors on his/her social, emotional, academic and/or vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere minimal impact interferes seriously limits Do not adversely affect educational performance. Do adversely affect educational performance. Comments Com							
vocational functioning. Check one. does not interfere minimal impact seriously limits It is my opinion that these behaviors: Do not adversely affect educational performance. Do adversely affect educational performance. Comments							
interferes seriously limits It is my opinion that these behaviors: Do not adversely affect educational performance. Do adversely affect educational performance. Comments							
It is my opinion that these behaviors: Do not adversely affect educational performance. Do adversely affect educational performance. Comments	does not interfere	minimal impact					
Do not adversely affect educational performance. Do adversely affect educational performance. Comments	interferes	seriously limits					
	☐ Do not adversely affe	ect educational performance.					
Classroom Teacher Signature Date	Comments						
Classroom Teacher Signature Date							
Classroom Teacher Signature Date							
Classroom Teacher Signature Date							
Classroom Teacher Signature Date							
Classroom Teacher Signature Date							
Classroom Teacher Signature Date							
Classroom Teacher Signature Date							
	Classroom Teacher Signature	Date					

PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES (Page 1 of 3)

Definition:

Systematic changes that affect entire phoneme classes or phoneme sequences. These changes are age appropriate up to the ages listed below.

Ages	DELETIC	ONS
2	1. Initial Consonant Deletion	at/hat
3	2. Final Consonant Deletion	no/noze
4	3. Consonant Cluster Reduction	tap/stop (deleting one or more)
	SUBSTITUT	
$3\frac{1}{2} - 5$	1. Stopping	ton/sun dus/juice
3	2. Voicing/Devoicing	die/tie crip/crib
3 - 6	3. Gliding	ju/shoe wef/leaf weed/read
4 - 5	4. Fronting/Backing	dum/gum cop/top
5 – 6	5. Affrication/Deaffrication	chew/shoe ship/chip
	ASSIMILA	TION
3 - 4	1. Progressive	beb/bed dod/dog
3 - 4	2. Regressive	lellow/yellow fwim/swim
	OR	
3	3. Velar Assimilation	gog/dog
3 - 4	4. Labial Assimilation	beb/bed fwim/swim
4	5. Alveolar Assimilation	lellow/yellow dod/dog
3	6. Nasal Assimilation	neon/pencil
	OTHER (infr	
3 - 4	1. Vocalization (vowelization)	bado/bottle
4	2. Weak Syllable Deletion	tefon/telephone
7	3. Transposition (Metathesis)	aks/ask
5	4. Vowel Neutralization	bad/bed
2	5. CC Deletion	op/stop
2	6. Reduplication	wawa/water
	¥	

Phonological Disability in Children cited by Linda M. Khan. "A Review of 16 Major Phonological Processes." Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools. (April 1982). pp. 77-85. Phonological Processes. Used by permission.

PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES (Page 2 of 3)

Phonological Process	Description	Example	Developmental Information
A. Syllable Structure Processes 1. Deletion of Final Consonant	Reduction of CVC words or syllables to CV form, not usually sound specific	book → /b1/	Children who are developing language normally will begin to include final consonants by age 3 ¹
2. Cluster Reduction	Simplification of clusters of consonants usually by deleting the one that is most difficult to produce	tree → /ti/	Most children (90%) do not use cluster reduction after age 4 ¹
3. Weak Syllable Deletion	Deletion of unstressed syllables	telephone→ /t fon/	Process does not exist in speech of normally developing children beyond age 4 ¹
4. Glottal Replacement	Replacement of final consonant of a syllable, usually in the intervocalic position, by a glottal stop; may mark the place of a consonant that is deleted.	kitchen→/ki?ən/	
B. Harmony Processes 1. Labial Assimilation	Substitution of a labial phoneme for a non-labial phoneme due to influence of a dominant labial phoneme contained within the word	thum→ /w∧m/	
2. Alveolar Assimilation	Substitution of a phoneme which is produced with alveolar placement for a non-alveolar phoneme due to influence of a dominant alveolar phoneme within the word	yellow→ /lεlo/	
3. Velar Assimilation	Substitution of a phoneme which is produced with velar placement for a non-velar phoneme due to influence of a dominant velar phoneme within the word	dog→/g⊃g/	
4. Prevocalic Voicing	Substitution of a voiced stop for its voiceless cognate due to influence of the following vowel	pig→ /big/	
5. Final Consonant Devoicing	Substitution of a voiceless stop for its voiced cognate due to influence of the silence following the word	bed→/bɛt/	Devoicing of final consonants does not occur after age 3 in normal phonological development ¹

Phonological Disability in Children cited by Linda M. Khan. "A Review of 16 Major Phonological Processes." Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools. (April 1982). pp. 77-85. Phonological Processes. Used by permission.

PHONOLOGICAL PROCESSES (Page 3 of 3)

	Phonological Process	Description	Example	Developmental Information
	nture Contrast ocesses Stopping	Substitution of a stop for a fricative	sun →/t∧n/	
2.	Affrication	Substitution of affricatives for fricatives: usually occurs more often with sibilant fricatives than others	sun→/ts∧n/	Most fricatives should be correctly produced by age 4.
3.	Fronting	Substitution of phonemes by others which are produced anterior to the target phonemes; occurs commonly with velar stops	wagon→/wadn/	Reported to no longer be evident by age 4 in normally developing children. ¹
4.	Gliding of Fricatives	Substitution of glides for fricative phonemes	soap→/jop/	
5.	Gliding of Liquids	Substitution of /w/, and /j/ for l/l or /t/, simplification process	red→/wed/	Majority of children reported to produce correct liquids by age 4.1
6.	Vowelization	Substitution of vowels for syllable consonants, most frequently /u/ and /o/	table→/tebo/	Syllabics are usually acquired by age 4 ¹
7.	Denasalization	Substitution of stops for nasals; usually affects word-initial and word- medial nasals more than word-final nasals	smoke→/bok/	

Phonological Disability in Children cited by Linda M. Khan. "A Review of 16 Major Phonological Processes." Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools. (April 1982). pp. 77-85. Phonological Processes. Used by permission.

Examination of the Oral Peripheral Mechanism

Lips Habitual Posture: Closed Parted Mobility: Press Purse Retracts Jaw Mobility Sufficient Insufficient E	
Jaw Mobility Sufficient Insufficient Ex	
Tongue	
	Excessive
Appearance at rest: SizeAppropriateToo largeToo smal	11
SizeAppropriate100 large100 small	11
Protrusion Tremors Deviation Licks lips with to	nana
Lingual Frenum Moves independently with jaw	nigue
Sweeps palate from alveolar ridge	
Palate	
Appearance of hard palate Length of soft palate	_
Mobility Gag Reflex	
Mobility Gag Reflex Closure evidently complete Uvula Length Mobility Bifid	_
Uvula Length Mobility Bifid	
Tongue Thrust	
9	No
Can you see the tongue when s/he swallows? Yes N	No
If s/he swallows with the lips closed, can you	
See tensing of the chin? Yes N	No
Dental observations Spacing Missing teeth	_
Dental observations Spacing Missing teeth Alignment: normal misaligned spaced	<u> </u>
Condition: good slight decay excessive	e decay _
Occlusion: normal overjett edge to	edge
Breathing Mouth breather? Yes No	
Other deviations noted:	

VOICE

Voice Criteria

A voice impairment is defined as a pitch, loudness or quality condition that calls attention to itself rather than to what the speaker is saying.

How to complete the Voice Rating Scale: Circle the score for each of the five categories:

Row A: Voice Quality Row B: Resonance Row C: Loudness Row D: Pitch

Row E: Educational impact

- Use the Teacher Input Form regarding voice to assess the adverse affect on educational performance.
- 1. Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the total score.

Total Score: 0-3 No Voice Impairment (ineligible)

Total Score: 4-6 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 7-10 Moderate Total Score: 11-15 Severe

2. The comment section may include statements of clinical impressions based on observations that are relevant to the determination of severity.

Considerations

There are multiple aspects to consider when evaluating voice impairments:

- pitch
- loudness
- quality
- resonance
- duration

Many disorders of voice or resonance have an organic etiology with a related medical history. Other disorders are functionally based, caused by "faulty usage" or behavioral histories. For assessment and instructional purposes, classifying voice disorders by vocal behaviors or symptoms provides the most useful information for the speech-language pathologist. Boone and McFarlane (2005) suggest "For those patients not referred by laryngologists, part of the evaluation process may include a medical evaluation. Occasional voice patients, such as those who do not talk loudly enough or those who use aberrant pitch levels for what appear to be functional reasons, may not require medical evaluation. Patients with voice quality and resonance problems generally require some medical evaluation of the ears, nose, oral cavity, and throat as part of the total voice evaluation...A laryngeal examination must be completed before a patient can begin voice therapy for problems related to quality or resonance." (p. 133)

A student may be eligible for speech-language pathology services when vocal quality, pitch, loudness, resonance (hypernasality, hyponasality, nasal emissions, mixed), and/or duration adversely affect educational performance and the ability to communicate. If structural etiology is suspected, a referral to the regional cleft palate team may be warranted. Parent may elect to consult their personal physician. Information can be obtained by contacting the Children's Health Services at (701)328-2436, toll free at (800)755-2714, or at www.ndhealth.gov/CSHS/clinic.htm. There is currently no cost to the family to participate in the cleft palate team evaluation.

Evaluation

The following measures are appropriate for use in determining the presence of a voice impairment:

- 1. Speech sample
- 2. Structured observation
- 3. Observation of oral presentations
- 4. Teacher report, interview, or checklist
- 5. Child report, interview, or checklist
- 6. Parent report, interview, or checklist

Note: Teacher, child, and parent reports, interviews, or checklists are not sufficient evidence by themselves and must be supported with additional data.

Best Practice: A comprehensive voice examination should include information obtained from both subjective measures (e.g., perceptual ratings and clinical impressions based on observations and analysis of speech samples) and objective measures (e.g., standardized tests or instrument evaluations). Observations should take place in situations calling for both low and high vocal demand:

- Low vocal demand: utterances produced in a relatively quiet environment or short responses that do not require talking over a prolonged period of time.
- High vocal demand: talking in a noisy environment (e.g., in the cafeteria), for a prolonged period of time (e.g., oral presentation or reading aloud), or controlling the voice over a wide pitch range (e.g., singing).

NOTE: Before a child may be found eligible for services for a voice impairment, the child should receive a medical examination from an otolaryngologist (i.e., ear, nose and throat physician), clearing the child for intervention. This is important to ensure the source of the voice impairment is not an organic problem for which therapy is contraindicated.

Voice Severity Rating Scale

	Factors	No Disability (0 pts)	Mild (1 pt)	Moderate (2 pts)	Severe (3 pts)	Points Assigned
A	Voice Quality (hoarse, breathy, no voice)	Normal voice quality	Inconsistent problems; noticeable to the trained listener.	Frequent problems in conversational speech. Noticeable to most listeners.	Persistent problem. Noticeable at all times.	
В	Resonance (hypernasality, nasal emissions, and/ or hyponasality,)	Normal resonance	Inconsistent problems; noticeable to the trained listener.	Frequent problems. Inappropriate for age, gender or culture. Noticeable to most listeners.	Persistent problem. Always inappropriate for age, gender or culture. Noticeable at all times.	
С	Loudness (judged for appropriateness for age and gender, and for appropriate variability)	Normal loudness.	Inconsistent problems; noticeable to the trained listener.	Frequent problems. Inappropriate for age, gender or culture. Noticeable to most listeners.	Persistent problem. Always inappropriate for age, gender or culture. Noticeable at all times.	
D	Pitch (judged for appropriateness for age and gender, and for appropriate variability)	Normal pitch.	Inconsistent problems; noticeable to the trained listener.	Frequent problems. Inappropriate for age, gender or culture. Noticeable to most listeners.	Persistent problem. Always inappropriate for age, gender or culture. Noticeable at all times.	
Е	Educational Impact (social/emotional) (e.g., Teacher input)	Voice skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational settings	Voice skills are developing and can be addressed	Voice skills have an effect on the student's ability to participate in educational setting	Voice skills have a significant impact on the student's ability to participate in educational setting	
					TOTAL POINTS	

Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the total score.

No Voice Impairment (ineligible) Mild (ineligible) Total Score: 0-3

Total Score: 4-5

Total Score: 6-10 Moderate Total Score: 11-15 Severe.

Comments:				

Voice Severity Rating Scale Overall Functional Level

Level	Condition	Educational Impact
Level 0 (0 –3 points) Ineligible	The student's voice consistently sounds normal and does not call attention to itself.	The student's ability to participate in educational activities requiring low or high vocal demands is not limited by his/her voice. The student self-monitors vocal production as needed.
Level 1 (4 -5 points) Mild (Ineligible)	The student's voice occasionally sounds normal and is usually not distracting to the listener. There is some situational variation.	The student's ability to participate in educational activities requiring voice is rarely limited in low vocal demand activities, but occasionally limited in activities with high vocal demand. The student occasionally self-monitors.
Level 2 (6-10 points) Moderate	The student's voice is occasionally functional for communication but is consistently distracting to the listener.	The student's ability to participate in educational activities requiring voice is usually limited to low vocal demand activities, but consistently limited in high vocal demand activities.
Level 3 (11–15 points) Severe	The student's voice is persistently abnormal.	The student may not be able to use his/her voice to communicate.

Voice Appendices

(Attached are resources that MAY be used in the assessment process to help the SLP determine eligibility)

- 1. Voice Terminology
- 2. Quick Screen for Voice
- 3. Teacher Input/Voice
- 4. Voice Evaluation Worksheets (3 pages)
- 5. Vocal Self perception: Attitudinal Questionnaire
- 6. Voice Conservation Index Self Rating

Assessment in Speech-Language Pathology: A Resource Manual, 4th Edition, Delmar-Cengage (2009), has useful evaluation tools and checklists such as the *Vocal Characteristics Checklist*, Forms 1 and 2

Voice Terminology

Abusive Vocal Behaviors – activities such as frequent "throat clearing" or shouting (e.g., cheerleading)

Breathing Pattern – the general contributions of the thoracic, clavicular, and abdominal areas involved in breathing during conversational speech. Look for reliance upon one pattern to the exclusion of the others.

Glottal Attack – the relative (soft vs. hard) onset of vocal fold activity.

Loudness Level – the estimated level of the student's speech during normal conversation in a quiet environment. Persistent whispering or shouting would be positive indications.

Maximum Phonation Time – averaged over three different trials, the maximum amount of time (in seconds) that the student can continuously sustain /a/ (or /i/) on one exhalation.

Muscle Tension – the amount of tension visible in the student's face, neck, and chest areas during normal conversation. Abnormal tension suggested by a stiff posture and/or accompanying strain.

Nasal Emission - audible or inaudible ("visible") nasal escape during production of speech, especially pressure consonants.

Nasal Resonance – the amount of perceived resonance associated with the production of nasal consonants. An inappropriate degree of hypo – hyper nasality perceived during conversation would be a positive indication. Note: mixed nasal resonance (i.e., both hypo – and hypernasal resonance perceived within the same speaker) may occur.

Oral Resonance - the perceived amount of resonance associated with oral consonants and vowels. Positive indications might include speaking with limited oral openings and reduced intelligibility.

Phonation Breaks - the inappropriate cessation of voicing during speech. A positive indication would be an unintentional and relatively brief period of silence during a normally voiced consonant or a vowel.

Pitch – consider if the vocal pitch is too high, too low, or monotonic for a student's height/weight, age and gender.

Pitch Break – the cessation of a continuous and appropriate pitch level during speech.

Quality – the overall quality of the student's conversational speech including hoarseness, breathiness, and/or harshness.

s/z ratio – the ratio of the maximum sustained production of /s/ (in seconds) relative to /z:/ (in seconds). Two trials with the longer production of each sound used to compute the ratio. A ratio greater than 1.4 is an indication of possible laryngeal inefficiency for speech. Report data to the nearest single decimal place.

Quick Screen for Voice

Studen	t		DOB:	Sci	eening Date:	,
Teache	er:		_School:			
Speech		Date:		Passed	Failed	
Hearin	ng Screening			Passed		_
Pertino	ent Medical and Social	History				
conver speech area, ir	ons: The Quick Screen is sation, picture description, or perform the tasks re- indicating that a more tho	for Voice should be conc on, imitated sentences, re quested. The screening to brough evaluation is need	ducted in a quicecited passages est is failed if olded.	et area. Elicit ve s, counting, and one or more dis	erbal activities, su other natural sam	ch as spontaneous ples of voice and
Mark	all observations that ap	oply, as the individual p	produces conn	nected speech:		
[] Inf	nalatory stridor or expira	too long on one breath		breath support d loudness or vo		
[] Vo [] Per [] Co	ough or hoarse quality ocal strain and effort rsistent glottal fry onversational pitch is too	high or too low nited in pitch or loudness		a ottal attacks	too loud or too so	oft
con mo [] Co [] Hy		oney, etc.)	observed du contexts: Co plays baseb	arbulence or auduring pressure count from 60 to all; Give Kate toppy, Take a ticl	69; Popeye he cake; Buy	on

Lee, L., Stemple, J.C., Glaze, L. *Quick Screen for Voice and supplementary documents for identifying voice disorders.* Language, Speech and Hearing Services in the Schools, Vol. 35, 308-319, Oct 2004

Quick Screen for Voice

Model the series of nonverbal tasks that are described on the test form. Multiple trials are allowed. Visual cues such as hand gestures moving a toy car across the table (for maximum phonation time) or up and down a hill (for pitch range), etc. may be used to supplement auditory model.

Number of seconds /a:/ was sustain MPT less than:	Age in Years	Normal Mean in Seconds
MPT less than:	Age in Years	
		(Range)*
	3	7 (3-11)
•	4	9 (5-15)
_	5	10 (5-16)
Note: MPT values are related to age and height; multiple attempts also influence results.	6-7	13 (5-20)
	8-9	16 (5-29)
•	10-12	Males: 20 (9-39)
		Females: 16 (5-28)
·	13-17	Males: 23 (9-43)
		Females: 28 (9-34)
	18+	Males: 28 (9-62)
_		Females: 22 (6-61)
MPT within normal limits:		
MPT within normal limits: Pitch range task: "Make your voice go down from your highest to low (do	18+ go from low to high like this	Females: 28 (9-34) Males: 28 (9-62) Females: 22 (6-61) (demonstrate pitch glide on the word
elicit a fire siren sound. [] Little pitch variation [] Voice breaks in pitch glides up of		
Acceptable pitch range and flexib	pility	

Lee, L., Stemple, J.C., Glaze, L. *Quick Screen for Voice and supplementary documents for identifying voice disorders.* Language, Speech and Hearing Services in the Schools, Vol. 35, 308-319, Oct 2004.

Teacher Input – Voice

Student Teacher:			
reaction.	SCHOOL		
Your observations of the above student's speeducational performance. Please answer all q			
1. Is the student able to project loudly enoug	th to be adequately heard in your	Yes	No
classroom during recitations?	,		
2. Does this student avoid reading out loud i	n class?		
3. Does this student appear generally to avoi	d talking in your classroom?		
4. Does this student ever lose his or her voic	e by the end of the school day?		
5. Does this student use an unusually loud ve classroom? Or on the playground?	oice or shout a great deal in your		
6. Does this student engage in an excessive a If so, when?			
7. Does the student's voice quality worse du of the day? If so, which? If so, how does it appear to disturb the other.	ner students, (e.g., their concentration,		
listening)?			
3. Does this student's voice quality make it content of his or her speech?	difficult to understand the		
Does this student's voice quality in itself of	distract you from what he or she is sayi	ing?	
10. Has this student ever mentioned to you the has a voice problem?	nat he or she thinks he or she		
11. Have you ever heard any of his or her per funny or actually make fun of this studen			
2. If this student has a pitch that is too low make it difficult to identify him or her as			
13. During speaking, does this student's voice extent that s/he appears to be embarrassed			
Additional observations/comments:			
It is my opinion that these behaviors: Does not interfere with the child's participation Do interfere with the child's participation			
Classroom Teacher's Signature:		Date:	

Voice Evaluation Worksheets (Page 1)

Child	DOB	Date	SLP	
School	Teach	ier	Grade	

Record areas assessed. The assessment should reflect areas of concern described in the referral and those that arise during the evaluation. Areas not assessed should be marked N/A.

Voice Area	Impairment	Evidence	Adverse Effects on Educational Performance
PHONATION			
Isolation			
Total Pitch Range			
Optimum Pitch			
Pitch Appropriate for Age			
Pitch Appropriate for Gender			
Loudness Range			
Aphonia			
Breathiness			
Diplophonia			
Glottal Fry			
Hoarseness			
Harshness			
Tremor			

$\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Voice Evaluation Worksheets} & (\textit{Page 2}) \end{tabular}$

Child	Date

Voice Area	Impairment	Evidence	Adverse Effects on Educational Performance
PHONATION			
Connected Speech			
Voice Onset			
Voiceless to Voiced			
Appropriateness of Loudness			
Pitch Breaks			
Pitch Range			
Habitual Pitch			
Aphonia			
Breathiness			
Diplophonia			
Glottal Fry			
Hoarseness			
Harshness			
Tremor			
RESONANCE IN CONNECTED SPEECH			
Hypernasality			
Hyponasality			
Throatiness/Cul De Sac			
Nasal Emission			
Assimilation Nasality			

Voice Evaluation Worksheets (Page 3)

Child	Date

Voice Area	Impairment	Evidence	Adverse Effects on Educational Performance
PROSODY IN CONNECTED SPEECH			
Stress			
Intonation			
RESPIRATION			
Type of Breathing Pattern			
At rest			
In Connected Speech			
Breath Support for Speech			
Posture			
Tension			
ASSOCIATED FACTORS			
Vocal Abuse Behaviors			
Personality Factors			
ORAL MECHANISM			
Structure			
Function/Tension			
OTL EXAMINATION RESULTS			

Vocal Self Perception: Attitudinal Questionnaire

1.	Do you ever	r think about you	voice?	Yes	No	No Opinion
2.		ver heard your vo .g., on cassette re- nachine)?		Yes	No	No Opinion
3.	Did you like	e your voice on ta	pe playback?	Yes	No	No Opinion
4.				Yes	No	No Opinion
5.	5. Do you think your voice represents your image of yourself (masculine, feminine, intelligent, educated, friendly, etc.)? If Yes or No, in what way?			Yes	No	No Opinion
6.	 Do any of your friends, male or female, have voices that you especially like? <i>If yes, explain.</i> Do any of your friends, male or female, have voices that you especially dislike? <i>If yes, explain.</i> 			Yes	No	No Opinion
7.				Yes	No	No Opinion
8.				Yes	No	No Opinion
9. Circle any words below that describe your voice and the way you speak in general (either on tape replay or while actually talking).						
plea rasp hoa	asant oy	too soft high-pitched low-pitched	too loud strong thin	Add any other terms that may describe your voice.		
har shri		grow too fast	whiney			
	iii eaky	too fast	interesting resonant			
_	notonous	weak	masculine			
nas		breathy	feminine			
	mble	clear	expressive			
	ky		average			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Voice Conservation Index Self Rating

	me	AgeGer	iderDate	Please circle	e the answer that is
bes	st)				
1.	•	d, my voice gets hoarse.			
_	All the time	Most of the time	· ·	Once in a while	Never
2.	_	a ballgame, I get hoars		On a sin a subila	Managa
3	All the time When I'm in a no	Most of the time bisy situation, I stop talk	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
٥.	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
4.	When I'm in a no	oisy situation, I speak ve	ery loudly.		
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
5.	When I'm at hon	ne or at school, I spend	a lot of time talking eve	ery day.	
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
6.	I like to talk to pe	eople who are far away	from me.		
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
7.	When I play outs	ide with my friends, I y	ell a lot.		
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
8.	I lose my voice w	vhen I don't have a cold			
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
9.	People tell me I t	alk too loudly.			
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
10	. People tell me I n	ever stop talking.			
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
11.	I like to talk.				
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
12.	. I talk on the phon	ie.			
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
13.	. At home, I talk to	people who are in anot	her room.		
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
14.	. I like to make car	or other noises when I	play.		
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
15.	. I like to sing.				
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never
16.	. People don't liste	en to me unless I talk lou	ıdly.		
	All the time	Most of the time	Half the time	Once in a while	Never

FLUENCY

Fluency Criteria

Stuttering is primarily characterized by repetitions (sounds, syllables, part words, whole words, and phrases), pauses, and prolongations that differ in number and severity from those of normally fluent individuals. The onset usually occurs during the time that language skills are developing, and onset is generally gradual in nature. Secondary characteristics are frequently evident, and these vary in type and severity from individual to individual. The disfluencies may interfere with intelligibility, social communication, and/or academic and vocational achievement.

How to complete the Fluency Rating Scale: Circle the score for each of the five categories:

Row A: Frequency of Disfluency

Row B: Description of Disfluency

Row C: Secondary Characteristics

Row D: Avoidance

Row E: Educational Impact

Use the Teacher Input Form regarding fluency to assess the adverse affect on educational performance.

1. Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the total score.

a. Total Score: 0-3 No Fluency Impairment (ineligible)

b. Total Score: 4-6 Mild (ineligible)

c. Total Score: 7-10 Moderate d. Total Score: 11-15 Severe

The comment section may include statements of clinical impressions based on observations that are relevant to the determination of severity.

Considerations

The following measures are appropriate for use in determining the presence of a stuttering impairment:

- 1. speech sample
- 2. total disfluency index of the types and number of disfluencies and secondary characteristics obtained in the language sample and a structured reading activity
- 3. multiple environments/listeners
- 4. structured observation
- 5. anecdotal records impact of disfluencies on oral/expressive language task standardized tests
- 6. standardized tests
- 7. teacher report, interview, or checklist
- 8. student report, interview or checklist
- 9. parent report, interview or checklist

Note: Teacher, student and parent reports, interviews, and checklists are not sufficient evidence by themselves and must be supported with additional data.

Best Practice: An assessment for a fluency disorder should include the following components:

- Background: a history of the development of the student's stuttering, family history of stuttering, etc;
- Communication abilities: a report of his/her skills in the five parameters of communication stuttering, articulation, voice, language, and hearing;
- Oral-peripheral examination: a description of any atypical structures and the functional abilities of the oral mechanism;
- Reports, interviews, checklists: completed by the parents, the student, and the teacher;
- Structured observation: observation of student's speech and language during oral language activities in the classroom/school environment;
- Age of student; and/or,
- Length of time disfluent speech pattern has been present.

Fluency Rating Scale

The stuttering rating scale uses the following terminology:

- Description of disfluency addresses the duration of pauses (from less than 1 second to more than 3 seconds) and number of repetitions.
- Associated non-verbal behaviors means the presence of facial grimaces; visible tension of the head, neck, jaw, and/or shoulders; audible tension, as noted in uneven stress, pitch changes, increased rate, or tension during inhalation or exhalation.

Fluency Severity Rating Scale

Factors		No Disability (0 pts)	Mild (1 pt)	Moderate (2 pts)	Severe (3 pts)	Points Assigned	
A	Frequency of Disfluency	Less than 4% disfluencies	4% disfluencies	5 – 9% disfluencies	10% or more disfluencies		
В	Description of Disfluency	Primarily whole multisyllabic word repetitions. Occasional whole-word interjections and phrase/sentence revisions Less than 1 second pauses OR less than 4 repetitions	Transitory disfluencies in specific speaking situations which may include repetitions, prolongations, blocks, hesitations or interjections, and vocal tension. 1 second pauses OR 4 repetitions	Frequent disfluencies in many speaking which may include repetitions, prolongations, blocks in which sounds and airflow are shut off, hesitations or interjections and vocal tension 2 second pauses OR 5 repetitions	Habitual disfluencies in a majority of speaking situations, which may include repetitions, prolongations, blocks (long and tense with some noticeable tremors), hesitations or interjections, and vocal tension 3 or more second pauses OR 6 or more repetitions		
С	Secondary Characteristics	No associated behaviors	One or more associated behaviors that are noticeable and distracting and occurs inconsistently	One associated behavior that is noticeable and distracting and occurs consistently	Two or more associated behaviors that are noticeable and distracting and occur consistently		
D	Avoidance (rate for children 7 and older)	Does not avoid speaking situations	Occasionally avoids speaking situations	Avoids specific speaking situations (e.g., presentations, phone)	Avoids many speaking situations		
E	Educational Impact	Fluency skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational	Disfluencies are noticeable and in some situations limit the student's verbal participation in educational settings	Disfluencies are having an impact on the student's ability to speak and verbally participate in educational settings	Disfluencies are having a significant impact on the student's ability to speak and verbally participate in educational settings		
	TOTAL POINTS						

Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the Total Score.

Total Score: 0-3 No Stuttering Disability (ineligible)

Total Score: 4-6 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 7-10 Moderate
Total Score: 11-15 Severe

Comments:

Fluency Severity Rating Scale Overall Functional Level

Level	Conditions	Educational Impact
Level 0 (0 – 3 points) Ineligible	Disfluencies are primarily characterized by easy whole word repetitions that comprise less than 4% disfluent speech. The student's speech and language skills during educational activities are consistently understood and not distracting to the listener.	Student's verbal participation in educational activities is not limited by self-consciousness about listener reaction to his/her speech.
Level 1 (4 -6 points) Mild	Disfluencies are transitory and characterized by easy repetitions, prolongations and some hesitations that comprise 4-5% disfluent speech. Blocking, if it occurs, is less than a full second. Tension is noticeable but disfluencies and tensions are not distracting to the listener. Student does not usually avoid speaking situations and participates in oral language activities.	Student's verbal participation in educational activities may occasionally be limited by self-consciousness about listener reactions to his/her speech.
Level 2 (7 – 10 points) Moderate	Disfluencies are frequent and characterized by repetitions, prolongations, and some hesitations/interjections, and blocking that comprise 5-9% disfluent speech. Tension is noticeable, distracting to the listener. Associated behaviors, such as grimacing, and other distracting behaviors may be evident during speaking situations. Student is aware of disfluent speech and avoids some speaking situations and oral language activities.	Student's verbal participation in educational activities is impacted by self-consciousness about listener reactions to his/her speech.
Level 3 (11-15 points) Severe	Disfluencies are habitual and are characterized by repetitions, prolongations, hesitations/interjections, and blocking that lasts 3 or more seconds. Disfluencies comprise greater than 9% disfluent speech. There is evidence of significant vocal tension, some noticeable tremors, and noticeable associated behaviors that are distracting to the listener. Student generally avoids speaking situations and oral language activities.	Student's verbal participation in educational activities is significantly impacted by self-consciousness about listener reactions to his/her speech.

Preschool Fluency Criteria

Stuttering is primarily characterized by repetitions (sounds, syllables, part words, whole words, and phrases), pauses, and prolongations that differ in number and severity from those of normally fluent individuals. The onset usually occurs during the time that language skills are developing, and onset is generally gradual in nature. Secondary characteristics are frequently evident, and these vary in type and severity from individual to individual. The disfluencies may interfere with intelligibility, social communication, and/or academic and vocational achievement.

How to complete the Fluency Rating Scale: Circle the score for each of the five categories:

Row A: Frequency of Disfluency Row B: Description of Disfluency

Row C: Secondary Characteristics

Row D: Awareness

Row E: Educational Impact (social-emotional)

- 1. Add one point for EACH of the following risk factors:
 - a. consistently disfluent for more than 6 months
 - b. family history
 - c. male
 - d. onset after age 3.5
 - e. presence of other speech or language disorders
- 2. Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the total score.

Total Score: 0-3 No Fluency Impairment (ineligible)

Total Score: 4-6 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 7-10 Moderate Total Score: 11-15 Severe

Considerations

The following measures are appropriate for use in determining the presence of a stuttering impairment:

- 1. speech sample
- 2. total disfluency index of the types and number of disfluencies and secondary characteristics obtained in the language sample and a structured reading activity
- 3. multiple environments/listeners
- 4. structured observation (note level of awareness)
- 5. anecdotal records impact of disfluencies on oral/expressive language task standardized tests
- 6. standardized tests
- 7. teacher/caregiver report, interview, or checklist
- 8. parent report, interview or checklist

Note: Teacher, caregiver and parent reports, interviews, and checklists are not sufficient evidence by themselves and must be supported with additional data.

Best Practice: An assessment for a fluency disorder should include the following components:

- Background information: a history of the development of the student's stuttering, family history of stuttering, etc;
- Communication abilities: a report of his/her skills in the five parameters of communication stuttering, articulation, voice, language, and hearing;
- Oral-peripheral examination: a description of any atypical structures and the functional abilities of the oral mechanism:
- Reports, interviews, checklists: completed by the parents, the caregiver, and the teacher;
- Structured observation: observation of student's speech and language during oral language activities;

Fluency Rating Scale

The stuttering rating scale uses the following terminology:

- Description of disfluency addresses the duration of pauses (from less than 1 second to more than 3 seconds) and number of repetitions.
- Associated non-verbal behaviors means the presence of facial grimaces; visible tension of the head, neck, jaw, and/or shoulders; audible tension, as noted in uneven stress, pitch changes, increased rate, or tension during inhalation or exhalation.

For a preschool-age child who is exhibiting disfluent behavior, research indicates that the chances of success are greater the sooner a problem and its contributing factors are identified. When a preschool-aged child exhibits the following chronic non-fluent behaviors, it is likely the child will benefit from early intervention: the insertion of the schwa, uneven stress and rhythm, difficulty initiating and sustaining airflow, body tension and struggle behavior during speech, and the presence of significant predictors such as family history (Runyan, 2004).

For preschool children, the consideration of the adverse effect should be based on the effect of the stuttering impairment on the child's developmental skills in play, adaptive/self-help, communication, social-emotional, cognitive, and sensorimotor domains.

Preschool Fluency Severity Rating Scale

	Factors	No Disability (0 pts)	Mild (1 pt)	Moderate (2 pts)	Severe (3 pts)	Points Assigned
A	Frequency of Disfluency	Less than 4% disfluencies	4% disfluencies	5 – 9% disfluencies	10% or more disfluencies	
В	Description of Disfluency	Primarily whole multisyllabic word repetitions. Occasional whole-word interjections and phrase/sentence revisions Less than 1 second pauses OR less than 2 repetitions	Transitory disfluencies in specific speaking situations which may include repetitions, prolongations, blocks, hesitations or interjections, and vocal tension. 1 second pauses OR 2 repetitions	Frequent disfluencies in many speaking situations which may include repetitions, prolongations, blocks in which sounds and airflow are shut off, hesitations or interjections and vocal tension 2 second pauses OR 3-4 repetitions	Habitual disfluencies in a majority of speaking situations, which may include repetitions, prolongations, blocks (long and tense with some noticeable tremors), hesitations or interjections, and vocal tension 3 or more second pauses OR 5 or more repetitions	
С	Secondary Characteristics	No associated behaviors	One associated behavior that is noticeable and distracting and occurs inconsistently	One associated behavior that is noticeable and distracting and occurs consistently	Two or more associated behaviors that are noticeable and distracting and occur consistently	
D	Awareness	Is not aware of the speech disfluencies	Shows occasional awareness of by commenting to parent or caregiver	Is aware of disfluencies and occasionally shows some frustration with speaking	Is aware of disfluencies and shows frustration with not being able to communicate fluently	
Е	Educational Impact/ Social/Emotional (Teacher Checklist, Parent Questionnaire)	Fluency skills are adequate for the student's participation in educational or preschool settings (home, child care or center-based programs)	Disfluencies are noticeable and in some situations limit the student's verbal participation in educational/preschool settings	Disfluencies are having an impact on the student's ability to speak and verbally participate in educational/preschool settings	Disfluencies are having a significant impact on the student's ability to speak and verbally participate in educational/ preschool settings	
				TOTAL PO	INTS	

- **1. Add one point for EACH** of the following risk factors to the total: consistently disfluent for more than 6 months; family history; male; onset after age 3.5; and/or presence of other speech or language disorders.
- 2. Circle the score for each row and add them to obtain the total score.

Total Score: 0-3 No Fluency Impairment (ineligible)

Total Score: 4-6 Mild (ineligible)

Total Score: 7-10 Moderate Total Score: 11-15 Severe

Preschool Fluency Severity Rating Scale Overall Functional Level

Level	Conditions	Educational Impact
	Disfluencies are primarily characterized by	Child's verbal participation in
	easy whole word repetitions that comprise	educational/developmental
Loyal 0 (0 2 paints)	less than 4% disfluent speech. The child's	activities is not limited by self-
Level 0 (0 – 3 points) Ineligible	speech and language skills during	consciousness about listener
Inteligible	educational/developmental activities are	reaction to his/her speech.
	consistently understood and not distracting	
	to the listener.	
	Disfluencies are transitory and	Child's verbal participation in
	characterized by easy repetitions,	educational/developmental
	prolongations and some hesitations that	activities may occasionally be
	comprise 4-5% disfluent speech. Blocking,	limited by self-consciousness
Level 1 (4 -6 points)	if it occurs, is less than a full second.	about listener reactions to his/her
Mild	Tension is noticeable but disfluencies and	speech.
	tensions are not distracting to the listener.	
	Child does not usually avoid speaking	
	situations and participates in oral language	
	activities.	
	Disfluencies are frequent and characterized	Child's verbal participation in
	by repetitions, prolongations, and some	educational/developmental
	hesitations/interjections, and blocking that	activities is impacted by self-
	comprise 5-9% disfluent speech. Tension	consciousness about listener
Level 2 (7 – 10 points)	is noticeable and distracting to the listener.	reactions to his/her speech.
Moderate	Associated behaviors, such as grimacing,	
Moderate	and other distracting behaviors may be	
	evident during speaking situations. Child is	
	aware of disfluent speech and avoids some	
	speaking situations and oral language	
	activities.	
	Disfluencies are habitual and are	Child's verbal participation in
	characterized by repetitions, prolongations,	educational/developmental
	hesitations/interjections, and blocking that	activities is significantly
	lasts 3 or more seconds. Disfluencies	impacted by self-consciousness
	comprise greater than 9% disfluent speech.	about listener reactions to his/her
Level 3 (11-15 points)	There is evidence of significant vocal	speech.
Severe	tension, some noticeable tremors, and	
	noticeable associated behaviors that are	
	distracting to the listener. Child generally	
	avoids speaking situations and oral	
	language activities.	

Fluency Appendices

(Attached are a number of resources that MAY be used in the assessment process to help the SLP determine eligibility)

- 1. Stuttering Evaluation Guidelines
- 2. Types of Disfluencies
- 3. Teacher Input Fluency
- 4. Stuttering: Parent Interview Form (K-grade 12) (2 pgs)

Note: The following useful forms can be purchased *Assessment in Speech-Language Pathology*, *A Resource Manual*, 4th Edition, Demlar-Cengage (2009), has useful evaluation tools and checklists,

- FORM 10-1: Fluency Charting Grid
- FORM 10-2: Frequency Count for Disfluencies
- FORM 10-3: Calculating the Disfluency Index
- FORM 10-4: Assessment of Associated Motor Behaviors

Stuttering Evaluation Guidelines

I. Assessment of Stuttering Behaviors

A. Speech Samples

- 1. Conversation: at least 10 minutes, or 300 words.
- 2. Narrative: 3-5 minutes of uninterrupted talking about a movie, book, or situation that the client experienced. Picture description may also be used. Emotional context should be fairly neutral, unless attempting to get indications of stuttering variability.
- 3. Reading: assure sample is at client's level, one paragraph of sufficient length (5-10 sentences).
- 4. Conversation with pressure: about 2-3 minutes of interaction where the clinician imposes some type of communicative pressure, in the form of: loss of eye contact, challenging or disagreement with the client's statements (e.g., "I watch Sesame Street, and that's not Grover, that's Cookie Monster."), verbal interruption, competition, and/or time pressure.
- 5. Telephone call(s), as appropriate to child's age.

B. Frequency of Stuttering

- 1. Transcribe the sample(s) including markings for all disfluencies; calculate frequency in percentage of stuttered words/syllables; each can be stuttered only once. Determining the intended message can assist when attempting to calculate the stuttered and/or disfluent words/syllables.
- 2. Count the number of syllables contained in the transcript (only intended message syllables should be counted).

C. Types of Stutters

- 1. Count the stuttered disfluencies,
- 2. Count the normal/typical disfluencies,
- 3. Determine the percentage of each, of the total number of disfluencies.
- D. Duration of Stutters: using a stopwatch, time the duration of the three longest stutters, and average them.
- E. Secondary Behaviors: count the number of different behaviors observed; determine how noticeable they are, and rate them in terms of severity, as part of an overall severity assessment.
- F. Overall Severity: The most commonly used measure is the *Stuttering Severity Instrument-4* (Riley, 2009), available from Pro-Ed.

II. Additional Measures related to Stuttering

- A. Speech Rate: Using a stopwatch, calculate the duration of selected utterances (count the number of *intended* syllables in the utterance, and calculate syllables per minute. It may be beneficial to select mainly fluent utterances/segments.
- B. Speech related Beliefs, Feelings and Attitudes: "talk about talking" to determine:
 - 1. Level of awareness about what stuttering is,
 - 2. Thoughts and beliefs about why he/she stutters,
 - 3. Awareness of what s/he does to help speak more fluently,
 - 4. Level of worry or concern about stuttering,
 - 5. Perceptions of parental level of worry or concern (interview with parent(s) are typically necessary).

- C. Perceptions about Therapy: particularly helpful when working with any child who has awareness of the stuttering problem. Determine what the client believes has helped, what has not helped, goals, likes/dislikes in therapy, willingness to include others, expectations, etc.
- D. Experiences with teasing: determine when, how often, reactions, present situation, previous methods of dealing with it, etc.
- E. Teacher Interview: determine what they would like to know about stuttering, the child's treatment, and about how to manage the problem in the classroom.
- F. Classroom Observation: determine how much the stuttering interferes with the child's educational experience(s).

III. Additional Assessments

- A. Articulation Skills
- B. Language Skills
- C. Confrontation naming/word retrieval skills/word finding skills: Physical Development
- D. Cognitive Development
- E. Social-Emotional Development
- F. Educational Impact

Types of Disfluencies

OBSERVABLE CHARACTERISTICS OF STUTTERING

Behavior	Definition	Example
Hesitation	Any nontense break in the forward flow of speech	I am going home.
Broken words	With unacceptable withinword hesitations	Partially uttered words: I am going home.
Repetition	Repeated utterances of parts of words (PWR), words (WR), and phrases (PR)	I am g going.(PWR) I am am going.(WR) lam lam going (PR)
Interjections	Use of sounds, syllables, and words that are independent of context of utterance	I <u>er er</u> am <u>uh</u> going.
Prolonged sounds	Unacceptably prolonged sounds, usually at the start of a word	I am <u>s-s-s-so</u> late
Dysrythmic phonation	Distortion of the prosodic elements within a word, with improper stress, timing, or accenting	I am going (rising inflection) home.
Tension	Audible manifestation of abnormal breathing or muscular tightening between words, parts of words, or interjections	I <u>am</u> (forced breathing) going home.
Revisions, modifications	Grammatical or content	I am, I was going.
Incomplete phrases	Failure to complete an initiated unit of speech	<u>I am</u> but not today.

Teacher Input – Stuttering

Student:			
Teacher: Grade: _	DO	B:	_
Your observations of this student's speech fluency will help determine if the proble performance. Check all items that have been observed.	em adversely	affects educat	ional
	Yes	<u>No</u>	
1. Does the student have characteristics associated with stuttering (e.g., part or whole word repetitions, silent blocks, sound or word prolongations)?			
2. Are the stuttering characteristics accompanied by other behaviors (e.g., tension in the upper trunk, head, and neck, facial tics, body movements)?			
 Does stuttering make it difficult to understand the content of his/her speech? Does the student appear to talk less in the classroom because of stuttering? Does the student avoid verbal participation during classroom activities? Does the student avoid verbal participation in social situations? Do you think the student is aware of his/her communication problems? Have the student's parents talked to you about his/her fluency disorder? 			
In my opinion, these behaviors do NOT adversely affect educational			
performance.			
In my opinion, these behaviors DO adversely affect educational performance.			
What other observations do you have relating to this student's communication skills:			
		<u> </u>	
Teacher's Signature: Date:			

Adapted from Standards for the Delivery of Speech-Language services in Michigan Public Schools, Michigan Speech-Language Hearing Association (1985)

Stuttering: Parent Interview Form (Kindergarten through Grade 12)

St	udent:					
Gr	Grade:					
Pa	rent's Name:	_Address	: <u></u>			
Ph	ione:	_Siblings	: <u> </u>			
SI	.P:		•			
1	What are your concerns about this shild's speech?					
1.	What are your concerns about this child's speech? _					
2.	Is anyone else in the family concerned? Yes	□No	If yes	, please describ	e:	
3.	mother aunt father uncle					
	child's brother grandn	nother				
	child's sister grandfa	atner				
 4. 5. 	Describe the type of stuttering of the family members At what age did your child first Age:	r and thei	r treatment, if	any:		
	speak words:		 			
6.	How often does your child (Circle answer)		Frequently	Sometimes	Never	
	a. Repeat sounds		1	2	3	
	b. Repeat parts of words		1	2	3	
	c. Repeat words		1	2	3	
	d. Repeat phrases		1	2	3	
	e. Prolongs sounds		1	2	3	
	f. Holds breath		1	_	3	
	g. Adds interjections (um, ah)		1	2	3	
	h. Makes facial grimaces (or other behaviors)		1	2	3	
7.	Does your child appear concerned about his/her spec	ech?	Yes	No		
8.	Do siblings/friends tease your child about his/her sp	eech?	Yes	No		
In	each of the following speaking situations, does your of Increase [I] Decrease [D] or Remain the		RS]?			
			(Circ	le one)		
	a) talks with mother/fatherb) talks with strangers		I I	D RS D RS		
	c) talks with friends		I	D RS		

,	lks with teacher lks in front of class			I I	D D	RS RS	
	lks in small groups			I	D	RS	
	sks questions			I	D	RS	
	excited			I	D	RS	
	upset			I	D	RS	
	ks on the phone			I	D	RS	
k) ot	ther (describe:)		Ι	D	RS	
9. How	long have the disflu	encies been evident in y	our child's sp	eech?			
[[] Just occurred	[] one month	[] sever	al months	[]a	year or more	
10. To h	elp my child to speak	fluently, I tell my child	d to:				
	Stop a	and start over again					
_		a deep breath and start	over again				
_		more slowly	_				
_		of the word(s) before t					
-		ny child the difficult wo		w what they a	re		
-		nd my child not to stutte		1 '1 1			
-		speaking the phrase or					
-		er immediately when m					
-		ny child to practice spea o provide uninterrupted			tunity fo	or my child	
-	1 tiy t	o provide difficerupted	, umumcu sp	caking oppor	tuility 10	n my child	
11. Desc	cribe the speaking en	vironment at home:					
	Child	is frequently interrupte	d by siblings				
_		has many opportunities		ut interruption	n		
_	Child	frequently has opportu	nity to talk wi	th parents wit	thout pre	esence of	
	other	family members.					
12. Desc	cribe any help/treatme	ent your child has recei	ved for his/he	r speech:			
13. Chile	d's preferences:						
Food	d:	Toys:		TV	:		
Beve	erages:	Games:		Mu	ısic:		
Spor	ts:	Other (descri	ribe):				

CONTINUED ELIGILBILITY

Continued Eligibility Speech/Language Pathology Services

Evaluation of a student is required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) [34 CFR 300.305(e)] to determine that a child no longer has a disability. Exit decisions must be individualized, based on developmental norms, progress data collected, assessment information and the current best practices as determined by the IEP team. The IEP team may choose one or more of the following conditions as reason for discontinuation of speech-language services. These decisions must be made on a case-by-case basis determined by the rate of progress, discrepancy from peers/standards, instructional need of the student and the IEP process.

Consider the following:

- The student has met all speech-language goals and data indicate no additional needs.
- Given current medical, dental, neurological, physical, emotional, and/or developmental factors, the student's speech-language performance is within his/her expected performance range and compensatory skills have been achieved and documented on the IEP.
- The student has made minimal or no measurable progress over three progress reporting periods even though
 program modifications, varied approaches, and/or colleague consultations have been attempted and
 documented
- Limited carry-over, self monitoring or generalization has been documented in one or more environments over the IEP year.
- The student is unwilling or unmotivated to participate in treatment, attendance has been limited and/or participation precludes progress through therapeutic intervention. Attendance record over a period of time with attempts to improve attendance and participation are documented on the IEP.
- Parent/legal guardian of student requests that speech-language services be discontinued.
- Goals can be met through efforts of teachers and other professionals as documented on the IEP.
- Data indicate that with modifications and/or alternative methods of responding to academic/social tasks the student performs satisfactorily within the general education environment.

Exit Considerations

The decision to dismiss a student from speech-language services (terminate eligibility) is the responsibility of the IEP/assessment team. When the speech-language pathologist, or anyone with a legitimate educational interest in the student, perceives that the student no longer requires speech-language services to benefit from the special or general education programs, the IEP team must be convened to discuss the possible change in services.

The regulations require schools to follow the assessment process before determining that a child no longer meets the definition of "a child with a disability." A comprehensive evaluation is not required before the termination if a student's eligibility is due to exceeding the age of eligibility for FAPE or due to graduation with a regular high school diploma. For these individuals the evaluation team must provide a Summary of Performance (SOP). The SOP must include information about the student's academic achievement and functional performance, as well as recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting the student's postsecondary goals.

The evaluation team may have the same composition as the IEP team. The number of persons involved in the evaluation process will vary from one student to another. The evaluation team will review existing data about the child. This information can consist of relevant data collected through a variety of methods. Such performance data may be collected on the student's daily performance on activities associated with meeting the IEP goals, performance on class assignments, small or large group interactions, parental reports of performance outside the school environment, or student self-reporting. The evaluation may warrant the administration of standardized assessment instruments. In these instances, parental consent for testing must be obtained prior to administration of the standardized assessment unless that particular instrument was already noted in the student's IEP as a means of measuring progress. The various severity rating scales included in the appendices of these guidelines may also be helpful in determining progress.

After all available information has been organized and reviewed; the team will identify whether a disability continues to exist, whether the current levels of academic achievement are related to the developmental needs of a child, and whether the child continues to need special education and related services. In essence, the decision to dismiss is based on the same principles as the decision to find the child eligible:

- Does the child have a speech-language impairment?
- Is there an adverse educational impact?
- As a result, does the child need special education and related services?

Reasons for dismissal might include:

- The child no longer has a speech-language impairment;
- The child has a speech-language impairment, but it no longer affects his/her educational performance;
- The child has a speech-language impairment that affects his/her educational performance, but the IEP team determines the child no longer needs related services to benefit from special education. For example, the child's communication needs can be met through the communication goals worked on in the regular or special education classroom.

When a child reaches a level that is commensurate with his or her ability, demonstrating little if any progress over a period of time, the IEP team must review the child's IEP to determine whether the annual goals are being met and revise the IEP as appropriate to address any lack of progress. Any decision to dismiss a child who continues to have a speech-language impairment and who is not making progress should occur only after an IEP team has reviewed the child's progress and has pursued a variety of options for achieving progress. Those options may include working with other special and general education teachers to incorporate the communication goals into their classrooms. This may be especially effective for children with other disabilities (e.g., intellectual disability). Some children may simply lack motivation to continue to work on their speech-language impairment. The IEP team should consider the causes of the motivation problem and may develop a joint effort to address motivation (e.g., working with the school social worker, guidance counselors, the teacher(s), or another speech-language pathologist).

If the lack of progress is not related to any of the above, the IEP team should consider whether further evaluation may be needed to understand the lack of progress. This evaluation may be conducted by a school-based speech-language pathologist, an outside speech-language pathologist with specialized skills, another school professional, or outside professionals.

Prior to dismissal from receiving special education and related services, the school district must complete the evaluation process before determining that the child is no longer a child with a disability. This includes a child who is dismissed from a single service, but who continues to receive other special education or related services. A comprehensive evaluation is not required before the termination of a student's eligibility due to exceeding the age of eligibility for FAPE or due to graduation with a regular high school diploma. For more information on assessment procedures, refer to the ND Department of Public Instruction Guidelines: Evaluation Process at http://www.dpi.state.nd.us/speced1/laws/evalproc.pdf.

A parent signature is required on the Integrated Written Assessment Report, indicating that assessment information has been shared. If a parent disagrees with the determination, he/she may access the procedural safeguards found in the Parental Rights for Public School Students Receiving Special Education Services: Notice of Procedural Safeguards at http://www.dpi.state.nd.us/speced1/laws/PGuide07.pdf.

Appendices to Eligibility Determination

(Attached are two resources that MAY assist in documenting eligibility.)

NOTE: The following forms do not replace required forms adopted by the ND Department of Public Instruction, Office of Special Education or your local school district. They were incorporated as supplementary means by which to document continued eligibility considerations.

- 1. Need for special education worksheet
- 2. Educational Relevance of the Communication Disorder

Need for Special Education

Yes	Does the student have needs that cannot be met in regular education? If yes, list the needs below. (Use reverse side or attach additional pages if needed)
No	If no, there is no need for special education.
Yes	 2. Are there accommodations/adaptations that can be made in the regular education program to allow the student access to general education curriculum and to meet the educational standards that apply to all students? (Consider adaptations of content, methodology and/or delivery of instruction.) If yes, A. List accommodations/adaptations that do not require special education. Use reverse side of page or attach additional pages if needed. B. List accommodations/adaptations that require special education. Use reverse side of page or attach additional pages if needed.
No	If no, go to question 3.
Yes	3. Are there accommodations or adaptations that the child needs which are not provided through the general education curriculum? (Consider replacement content, expanded core curriculum, and/or other supports.) <i>If yes, list below. Use reverse side of page or attach additional pages if needed.</i>
No	

In order for the IEP team to determine that the student needs special education, the IEP team must have answered "yes" to question 1 AND list needs under 2B and/or 3.

Educational Relevance of the Communication Disorder

Name of Student	Date:						
Teacher:							
Academic-ability to benefit from the curriculum Social-ability to interact with peers and adults Vocational-ability to participate in work related activities							
Academic Impact	Social Impact	Vocational Impact					
List academic areas impacted by communication problems:	List social areas impacted by communication problems:	List job related competencies and/or skills impacted by the student's communication problems:					
 □ Below average grades □ Inability to complete language-based activities vs. non-language-based activities □ Inability to understand oral directions □ Grades below the student's ability level □ Other 	Peers tease student about communication problem Student demonstrates embarrassment and/or frustration regarding communication problem Student demonstrates difficulty interpreting communication intent Other	 ☐ Inability to understand/follow oral directions ☐ Inappropriate response to coworker/supervisor comments ☐ Unable to answer/ask questions in a coherent concise manner ☐ Other 					

References

State Education Agency Guidance Documents

- Florida Department of Education, Bureau of Instructional Support and Community Services, Division of Public Schools (1997). A training and Resource Manual for the Implementation of State Eligibility Criteria for the Speech and Language Impaired.
- Kansas State Department of Education, Student Support Services. (2005). Speech-Language Guidelines for Schools: With a Focus on Research Based Practices.
- Michigan Speech-Language Hearing Association. (2006). *Michigan Speech-Language Guidelines Suggestions for Eligibility, Service Delivery, and Exit Criteria Revised.*
- North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, Exceptional Children Division. (2006) *North Carolina Guidelines for Speech-Language Pathology Services in Schools*.
- Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (2003). Speech and Language Impairments Assessment and Decision Making Technical Assistance Guide.
- Virginia Department of Education (2005). Speech-Language Pathology Services in Schools: Guidelines for Best Practice.

Author References

Boone, D., McFarlance, S. & Von Berg, S. (2005). The voice and voice therapy, 7th edition. Boston: Pearson

Clinical Problem Solving: Assessment of Phonological Disorders. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, Volume 11, Issue 3. 221-229. August 2002

Culatta, R, and Goldberg, S. (1995). Stuttering therapy: an integrated approach to theory and practice. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Hodson, B (2002). Enhancing children's phonological and metaphonological skills. *Upper Midwest Regional Programs*.

J.F. Miller and R. S. Chapman. (1981). The Relation between Age and Mean Length of Utterance in Morphemes. Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 24 (2)

Sterling-Orth, A. (2004) Go to guide for phonological awareness. Thinking Publications.

Smith, C. (1998) From gibberish to phonemic awareness. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 30, 20-25.

Saniga, R.D. and Carlin, M.F. (1993). Vocal abuse behaviors in young children. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools: 24 (2), p. 83

Smit, A., et. al (1990). *The Iowa Articulation Norms Project and its Nebraska Replication: Sound Development Chart.* Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 55, pp. 779-798

7	v	v